UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al,	§ 8
Plaintiffs,	§
vs.	§ §
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., et al. Defendants	<pre> § § CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-CV-00044</pre>
and	§ §
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, Intervenor.	§ § § 8

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY TO RESPONSES OF DEFENDANTS AND FDIC TO MOTION FOR REMAND

§

Plaintiffs, American National Insurance Company, et al. ("Plaintiffs"), respectfully submit this unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply to Responses of Defendants and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") to Motion for Remand and shows the Court as follows:

On April 21, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Remand (Doc. 10) (hereinafter "Motion for Remand"). On May 11, 2009 the Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase, National Association ("JPMC") and the FDIC filed responses in opposition to the Motion for Remand (Doc. 16 - "JPMorgan Chase Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand"), (Doc. 17 - "Response of Intervenor-Defendant FDIC-Receiver in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand").

Also on May 11, 2009, JPMC filed a "Motion to Transfer or Dismiss for Improper Venue, and Joinder in Motion of Intervenor-Defendant FDIC-Receiver to Transfer or Dismiss for Improper Venue" (Doc. 15) ("JPMC's Motion to Transfer"), and a "Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction" ("JPMC's Motion to Dismiss") (Doc. 19). The Plaintiffs' responses to these motions are due on June 1, 2009.

As noted in a previous motion to the Court by the FDIC (Doc. 13 – "FDIC's Unopposed Motion Of Intervenor-Defendant FDIC-Receiver For Leave To File Reply To Plaintiffs' Response To Intervenor's Motion To Transfer Or Dismiss For Improper Venue," p. 2) ("FDIC's Motion for Leave"), the arguments and issues relating to venue transfer substantially overlap with those asserted with regard to the question of remand. The Court granted the FDIC's Motion for Leave on April 30, 2009 (Doc. 14).

Similarly, the arguments and issues relating to JPMC's Motion to Dismiss substantially relate to and overlap those raised in Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand and JPMC's response thereto. See Motion for Remand, pp. 24-25. In addition, JPMC states that it filed its Motion to Dismiss partially "in support of its opposition to plaintiffs' motion to remand." See JPMC's Motion to Dismiss, footnote 1.

Because of the interrelated nature of JPMC's and the FDIC's arguments, and in order to provide the Court with both sides of those arguments in considering JPMC's Motion to Transfer and JPMC's Motion to Dismiss, the Plaintiffs respectfully request leave of the Court to file a short reply in further support of its Motion for Remand concurrently with the filing their responses to JPMC's Motion to Transfer and JPMC's Motion to Dismiss, which are due no later than June 1, 2009.

For the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Motion be granted, and that the Plaintiffs have up to and including June 1, 2009 to file a Reply to JPMC's and FDIC's responses to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand.

GREER, HERZ & ADAMS, L.L.P.

1: Andrew J. Mytelke/by Deinssion

ANDREW J. MYTELKA

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE

STATE BAR NO. 1476700 S.D. TEX. I.D. No. 11084

JOE A.C. FULCHER

STATE BAR No. 07509320

M. DAVID LE BLANC

STATE BAR No. 00791090

JOSEPH R. RUSSO, JAR.

STATE BAR No. 24002879

STEVE WINDSOR

STATE BAR No. 21760650

JAMES M. ROQUEMORE

STATE BAR No. 24058082

ONE MOODY PLAZA, 18TH FLOOR

GALVESTON, TEXAS 77550

(409) 797-3200

(409) 766-6424 (FAX)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Pursuant to Local Rule of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas 7.2, I certify that I have conferred with counsel for the FDIC and counsel for the JPMorgan Chase defendants and that the foregoing motion is unopposed.

James M. Roquemore

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day of May, 2009, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Court's ECT filing system, which will provide electronic notification of its filing to all counsel who have appeared in this action, including the following counsel of record:

Glen M. Boudreaux Jackson Walker L.L.P. 1401 McKinney, Suite 1900 Houston, TX 77010

Jack O'Neill DLA Piper LLP (US) Chase Tower 600 Travis Street, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002-3009

Aaron G. Fountain
DLA Piper LLP (US)
1221 South MoPac Expressway, Suite 400
Austin, TX 78746-7650

James M. Roquemore

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE	§
COMPANY, et al,	8
Plaintiffs,	§
	§
VS.	§
	§
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., et al.	§
Defendants	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-CV-00044
	§
and	§
	§
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE	Š –
CORPORATION,	Š
Intervenor.	§

UNOPPOSED ORDER

The Court has considered the Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply to Responses of Defendants and Intervenor to Motion for Remand, filed by the Plaintiffs, and finds said Motion to be meritorious.

It is therefore ORDERED that said Motion is hereby GRANTED, and that the Plaintiffs have up to and including June 1, 2009 to file its Reply to the responses of the Defendants and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand.

SIGNED this day of	, 2009.
	Melinda Harmon
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT LUDGE