UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:))	Case No. 08-12229(MFW) (Jointly Administered) Chapter 11
WASHINGTON MUTUAL,	INC.,)	-
<u>et al.,</u>)	Courtroom 4
)	824 Market Street
	Debtors.)	Wilmington, Delaware 19801
)	
)	December 16, 2008
)	12:01 P.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors: Richards Layton & Finger, PA

By: MARK COLLINS, ESQ.

One Rodney Square, P.O. Box 551

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

Weil Gotshal & Manges
By: BRIAN ROSEN, ESQ.
TAL SAPEIKA, ESQ.
Adam STROCHAK,

1300 Eye Street, NW, Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005

ECRO: Brandon McCarthy

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE: TRANSCRIPTS PLUS

435 Riverview Circle

New Hope, Pennsylvania 18938 Telephone: 215-862-1115 Facsimile: 215-862-6639

e-mail <u>CourtTranscripts@aol.com</u>

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Appearances: (Continued)

For WMI Noteholders:

Bayard, P.A.

By: JEFFREY SCHLERF, ESQ.

P.O. Box 25130

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

White and Case

By: GREG STARNER, ESQ.
PHILLIP NICHOLS, ESQ.
1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York

For JPMorgan Chase:

Landis Rath & Cobb
By: ADAM LANDIS, ESQ.
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, Suite 1410
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP By: HYDEE R. FELDSTEIN, ESQ.

The U.S. Trustee:

United States D.O.J.

Office of the U.S. Trustee

By: JOSEPH J. McMAHON, JR., ESQ.

844 King Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

For the Official Committee:

Pepper Hamilton

By: DAVID STRATTON, ESQ.

Hercules Plaza

1313 Market Street, Suite 5100

P.O. Box 1709

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1709

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld

By: FRED HODARA, ESQ. SCOTT ALBERINO, esq.

590 Madison Avenue New York, New York Appearances: (Continued)

For FDIC: Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor

By: BLAKE CLEARY, ESQ.
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

DLA Piper

By: JOHN CLARKE, ESQ.

For Lead Plaintiffs Cr Securities Litigation By

re: WMI:

Cross & Simon, LLC

By: RICHARD H. CROSS, JR., ESQ.

913 North Market Street

Suite 1001

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For ADT Security Services,

Inc.:

Morris James, LLP

By: BRETT FALLON, ESQ.

500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500

P.O. Box 2306

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2306

For IBM Corp: Stevens & Lee, P.C.

By: JOSEPH GREY, ESQ. 1105 North Market Street

7th Floor

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Curtis Mallet-Prevost
By: TIMOTHY BARNES, ESQ.

For Microsoft: Brown Stone Nimeroff, LLC

By: JAMI NIMEROFF, ESQ.

For SEC: Securities and

Exchange Commission By: ALAN MAZA, ESQ.

For Wavelink Corporation: Ashby & Geddes

By: RICHARD PALACIO, ESQ.

222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Appearances: (Continued)

For AT&T: Archer & Greiner

By: CHARLES J. BROWN, III, ESQ.

300 Delaware Avenue

Suite 1370

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For Aurelius Capital: Aurelius Capital

By: JASON SPINDEL

For Elliott Management: Stutman Treister & Glall

By: WHITMAN L. HOLT, ESQ.

For D.K. Partners: D.K. Partners

By: EPHRAIM DIAMOND

Proposed Special Counsel John W. Wolfe, P.A.

to Debtors:

By: JOHN W. WOLFE, ESO.

For Resurgence Asset Management

Management:

By: KARL SCHWARTZFELD

For Mark Black: Debevoise & Plimpton, LLP

By: MAUREEN CRONIN, ESQ.

For Eton Park Capital: Eton Park Capital

By: MARK BLACK, ESQ.

For Tejas Securities: Tejas Securities

By: ROB HALDER

For Investor: Hurt & Berry, LLP

By: JEFFREY HURT

For JPMorgan Chase: JPMorgan Chase

By: KEVIN MRUK

For JPMorgan Chase: JPMorgan Chase

By: JOSEPH GIAMPAP

For Robert White: Fintech Advisory

By: ROBERT WHITE, Pro Se

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

(Continued)

For Taconic Capital: Taconic Capital

By: MARC SCHWARTZ

For Concur: Cairncross & Hepelmann, P.S.

By: JOHN R. KNAPP, JR., ESQ.

For Palm Springs Brokerage: HANS BROST, Pro Se

For Elliott Management: JOHN PIKE, Representative

For SilverPoint Capital: MATTHEW EHMER, Representative

For Anna Kalenchits: ANNA KALENCHITS, Pro Se

For Morgan Stanley: Morgan Stanley

By: JIM F. FARNER

For Halcyon, LLC: Halcyon, LLC

By: FRED STEINDLER

For Nathan Vantzelfde: NATHAN VANTZELFDE

For Wilmington Trust: Arent Fox, LLP

By: JEFFREY ROTHLEDER, ESQ.

ANDREW I. SILFEN, ESQ.

For Verizon Services: Arnall Golden & Gregory, LLP

By: MICHAEL HOLBEIN, ESQ.

171 17th Street NW

Suite 2100

Atlanta, Georgia 30363-1032

For UBS: UBS

By: TODD BECKER

For Tudor Investment: MITCHELL E. SUSSMAR, Rep.

For Wells Fargo: Loeb & Loeb, LLP

By: WALTER CURCHACK, ESQ.

For Serengeti Asset Management

Management: By: YI SHU

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

(Continued)

For Talamod Asset Management: Talamod Asset Management

By: JAY SLEEN

For Bank of New York: Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw

Pittman, LLP

By: LEO CROWLEY, ESQ. MARGOT ERLICH, ESQ.

For Barclays Capital: Barclays Capital

GEORGE BRICKFIELD

For Various Interested Kramer Levin Naftalis

Parties: & Franekl, LLP

By: DANIEL M. EGGERMAN, ESQ.

For RBS Greenwich Capital: RBS Greenwich Capital

By: JEFFREY FARKAS

For Security Class Action: Lowenstein Sandler, PC

By: MICHAEL ETKIN, ESQ.

For Official Committee of

Unsecured Creditors:

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld By: ROBERT A. JOHNSON, ESQ.

DAVID SIMONDS, ESQ.

For Angelo & Gordon: Angelo & Gordon

By: EDWARD KRESSLER

For JPMorgan Chase: Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP

By: ROBERT URBAND, ESQ.

For Owl Creek Management: Owl Creek Management

By: MARK KRONFELD

For Law Debenture: Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler

By: DANIEL LOWENTHAL

BRIAN GUINEY

Unsecured Creditors:

For Official Committee of Law Debenture Trust Co. By: JAMES HEANEY, ESQ.

For Bondholders: Onex Creditors Partners

For STUART KOVENSKY

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

(Continued)

For Goldman Sachs & Co.: Goldman Sachs & Co. By: MATT AHEARN

For One East Partners:
One East Partners
By: MICHAEL ADAMSKI

1 THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Brian Rosen, Weil Gotshal and Manges on behalf of Washington Mutual, Inc.

Your Honor, if I could just do a little housekeeping before we get started into the regular calendar --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROSEN: -- and let the Court know what has been resolved, so that we can shift it to uncontested.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, there were two items that were listed in the continued item section:

One was the stipulation with respect to the deposit. That is going to be continued.

There was another -- the second item was the motion of Dell Marketing, LP for allowance and payment of admin expense claim under 11 U.S.C. 503(b)(9). The parties have reached an agreement on that, Your Honor, and we will be presenting a stipulation based upon certification of counsel, Your Honor. But that has been agreed upon, and passed back and forth with the creditors' committee also being in the loop on that.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, also under Item Number 6, which is a contested item that's going forward. The first item there is the motion for approval of the rejection of the

1 transfer agent agreement. We saw the letter from the SEC, we are still trying to resolve issues. We will essentially, Your Honor, move that off of today's calendar, continue that. And as a result, essentially, Your Honor, with the termination of that being effective in any event on January 1st, it will 5 become moot. So, in all likelihood, Your Honor, we will then 6 7 just withdraw that motion. 8 MR. MAZA: Excuse me, Your Honor. Alan Maza from the SEC. 9 10 THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry. Could you speak into 11 the microphone?

MR. MAZA: I'm sorry. Alan Maza from the SEC in New York.

I'm not clear as to what the adjournment of this matter is carried to.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, as I indicated, we will effectively -- once we do it from today, making it to December 30th becomes irrelevant for the one-day rejection. So, we will be withdrawing the motion.

MR. MAZA: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAZA: Thank you. Your Honor, if I may, that was

my only business. Can I leave?

THE COURT: You may be excused.

25 MR. MAZA: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the last housekeeping item
I'd just like to reflect is the last matter on the agenda,
which is Item 11, is the motion in connection with modifying
the automatic stay to allow advancement under certain insurance
policies. That was listed as contested. It will be
uncontested, and my partner, Mr. Strochak, will do the
presentation when we get to that point on the calendar.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, with that, I think we then jump to the first matter under uncontested items going forward, and those would be an application of the creditors' committee.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HODARA: Good morning, Your Honor. Fred Hodara, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer and Feld for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

The application that Mr. Rosen referred to is the Committee's application to retain FTI Consulting, Inc. as its financial advisor in these cases. The application was served on the notice list.

The only inquiry that we received was from the Office of the United States Trustee. We had discussions with Mr. McMahon regarding his inquiries, and we have satisfied all of those questions.

And so on that basis, and with there being no other comment received, nor objection filed, we would ask Your Honor

to enter the order for retention of FTI. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. I had no concerns about the 3 application, so I will grant it. 4 Do you have a form of order? 5 MR. HODARA: I do, Your Honor. May I approach? 6 THE COURT: You may. Thank you. 7 MR. HODARA: Thank you. 8 (Pause) 9 THE COURT: Would anybody else wish to be heard on 10 that? (No audible response heard) 11 THE COURT: I'll enter the order as uncontested then. 12 13 MR. HODARA: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MS. SAPEIKA: Good morning, Your Honor. Tal Sapeika for Washington Mutual. 16 17 The next item on the agenda is the debtors' application to retain John Wolfe as special counsel to the 18 19 debtors nunc pro tunc to October 25th. The Wolfe Firm will be 20 retained to Weil Gotshal in the pending criminal investigation 21 being conducted by the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and will also serve as local counsel in 22 certain multi district litigations pending before the Judicial 23 24 Panel in the Western District of Washington.

The debtors believe that Mr. Wolfe is well suited to

25

1 represent the debtor in connection with these matters because of his experience and location. And there have been no 2 objections to the application. The U.S. Trustee did have a few questions relating to the application, which the debtors addressed, and also set forth in a supplemental declaration, 5 6 which was filed with the Court yesterday. 7 And I'm happy to answer any questions. Also, Mr. Wolfe is on the line if I'm unable to answer anything. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. I had no questions about that application either. 10 11 MS. SAPEIKA: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anybody else wish to be heard? 12 13 (No audible response heard) THE COURT: You may hand up the form of order. 14 15 MR. WOLFE: This is John Wolfe. May I be excused at this point? 16 17 THE COURT: Yes, you may. Thank you, Your Honor. Good day. 18 MR. WOLFE: 19 MR. ALBERINO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Scott 20 Alberino from Akin Gump on behalf of the Creditors' Committee. 21 Item Number 5 on the agenda is the Committee's motion 22 for approval of procedures with respect to our information access requirements under 1102(b)(3). The motion seeks two 23 form of relief: 24 25 One is to clarify those procedures;

And two is to approve the retention of Epiq

Bankruptcy Solutions as our web site administration agent.

2.4

Before we filed, we had received numerous comments from debtors' counsel on the form of Epiq's retention. And there are modifications that have been incorporated into the form of engagement letter attached here.

We have also -- since we filed the motion, worked with the U.S. Trustee and the debtors on various comments they have to the form of order. And we've made changes, namely in three categories:

One, we've made certain modifications to Epiq's compensation. We've extended the period of time in which parties have to review the fee invoices.

And we've also removed extraordinary expenses, or the right to receive those from their engagement letter.

We have removed certain meet and confer requirements in the event the disputes concerning confidentiality -- confidentiality at the request of the U.S. Trustee's Office.

And we have also made some modifications to address, you know, information requests in that confidential information that we receive from third parties, other than the debtor.

So, I apologize. I don't have a black line with me today. A black line was circulated last evening, I believe, to Mr. McMahon, as well as to debtors' counsel.

I'm happy, if the Court would like, to submit under

certification a copy of the proposed order and black line. 1 But 2 if not, I have a copy of the form of order with me. 3 And Mr. McMahon has been nice enough to hand me a 4 copy of the black line. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. ALBERINO: If you'd like me to approach, Your 7 Honor. You may. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: (Pause) 9 10 THE COURT: All right. Let me take a quick look at 11 it. MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, on behalf of the debtors, we 12 13 have reviewed the black line. We have discussed that with Mr. Alberino. And we have no objection to the current form of 14 15 order. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 (Pause) 18 All right. The revisions look fine to THE COURT: 19 me. 20 MR. ALBERINO: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I'll enter the order. MS. SAPEIKA: Your Honor, Tal Sapeika again for 22 23 Washington Mutual. 24 The next item on the agenda is the debtors' motion to 25 approve procedures for the sale of the debtors' interest in

certain investments. And pursuant to the motion, the debtors propose to liquidate certain investments held by Washington Mutual, Inc. in their strategic capital funds. The investments are comprised of the debtors' interest in 10 venture capital funds, and their equity investments in certain companies which are all set forth and described in the motion.

The debtors' proposed sale procedures are also detailed in the motion and proposed orders. To highlight the proposed procedures, the procedures — the proposed procedures are designed to give notice to the key constituents in the debtors' Chapter 11 cases and to provide those parties with an opportunity to review any proposed sale, and to object to that proposed sale if they have any issues.

The goal of the motion is to enable the debtors to liquidate their investment on notice to the most significant parties in interest without taking on the administrative costs associated with preparing a sale motion associated with each proposed sale, and having the hearing with respect thereto.

The debtors, to date, have had very open communications with the Creditors' Committee and with the U.S. Trustee and with the various bondholder groups regarding the nature of the investments, the marketing process, and the first proposed sale under the proposed procedures.

Your Honor, we would like to represent on the record that we'll continue to work and communicate with and consult

with the committee, the noteholders' groups, and the U.S. Trustee regarding the sales process and any capital calls.

If the Court doesn't need me to recite the proposed procedures, I'd be happy to move forward and continue to discuss the substance of the motion.

THE COURT: Well, have you resolved all the objections?

MS. SAPEIKA: Your Honor, I believe that we have substantially resolved the Committee's concerns raised in their objection by increasing the length of the notice period from five to seven business days, and also providing the Committee with five business days' notice of any proposed capital call.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SAPEIKA: And I believe that should resolve the Committee's objection.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SAPEIKA: With respect to the U.S. Trustee's objection, we have not been able to resolve that objection. My understanding is that the U.S. Trustee believes that the -- these procedures are not appropriate for assets of this kind. And, again, I would just reiterate that the debtors believe that the procedures are appropriate in light of the very open communication, and the proposal to provide notice of any proposed sale to the most significant parties in interest. And that those parties in interest are really in the best position

to evaluate the value of any proposed sale, and to determine whether or not they think the transaction is appropriate.

THE COURT: Well, let me hear from the U.S. Trustee.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, good afternoon. Joseph McMahon for the Acting United States Trustee.

Your Honor, in evaluating motions of this type, we generally take a look at the types of assets that are attempted to be sold or addressed, and come to some type of view as to whether or not it's appropriate to do -- to address the relief requested on abbreviated notice. And our view of this is as follows:

This isn't the typical type of miscellaneous asset sale or type procedures stuff that we're talking about here.

We're talking about multi million dollars investments and limited partnership interests that, frankly, don't even begin to, I guess, approach the types of assets that we typically see with respect to miscellaneous asset sale procedures motions.

Additionally, we asked the question of, okay, the procedures are designed to alleviate a burden upon the estate with respect to the cost of getting from Point A to Point B.

And as Your Honor might imagine, our view of this is as follows: Each one of these transactions is going to be papered by virtue of the asset purchase agreement or some other type of instrument. We don't consider the incremental cost of preparing a motion on top of that and noticing it out to be

material, in light of the fact that this is different than, you know, a bill of sale where you're selling a guy five desks and chairs from a liquidating debtor.

So -- and the third point, Your Honor, is we're searching for consistency within the realm of this case. And in light of Your Honor's ruling at the last hearing with respect to the Wimerick (phonetic) matter, we're just trying to keep things square.

Those are our points.

THE COURT: Thank you. Response?

MS. SAPEIKA: Your Honor, in response to the U.S. Trustee's objection, again, I just reiterate that our -- the debtors' belief that the notice provisions and the communication and the procedure -- the formal procedures should be more than adequate to provide the key constituents with the information that they need. And there have been very, very open communications to date regarding sale procedures and the anticipated value of the investments.

In addition, you know, the U.S. Trustee mentions that it shouldn't be a significant burden to the debtors to file a motion and come to court with respect to each proposed sale.

However, the purchase agreement is not anticipated to be sort of a lengthy or difficult document to negotiate. In fact, in connection with the first proposed sale of certain of the funds, the debtors have already substantially negotiated

the document. It's quite short. And they anticipate that that could be even a form for use in connection with the future sales. And, therefore, the debtors do believe that it would be a significant burden to have to file a motion with respect to each proposed sale, some of which may be of fairly minimal value especially in comparison to the overall value of assets in the estates generally.

And that's generally why we believe that the procedures set forth are more than adequate and provide everyone with the information that they need to consider the value of any proposed transaction.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me say this. I think one debtors' de minimis is different from another debtors' de minimis. And I agree with the debtor to that extent, these are de minimis or not the principal assets of the debtor. Nonetheless, I think under Section 363, to sell anything out of the ordinary course of business, you need a sale order.

I will not require the debtor to file separate sale motions. You filed a sale motion. But I will require that the debtor give and file notice of the sale. It can be limited to the interested parties on the service list, and the Committee, and other interested parties pursuant to Rule 2002.

But in the event there is no objection, the debtors should file a certificate of no objection, and I'll enter an

1 order.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MS. SAPEIKA: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. We've actually anticipated that request, and have a form of proposed order which incorporates that modification.

THE COURT: I'm more consistent than I think.

(Laughter)

MS. SAPEIKA: And just one last thing, Your Honor. I just wanted to mention that Mr. Jonathan Goulding from Alvarez, the debtors' restructuring advisors, is present in the courtroom today and is available to testify with respect to this motion, or to answer any questions that anyone may have. And I'm certainly available to proffer his testimony if Your Honor believes that that testimony is necessary or if Your Honor has any questions.

THE COURT: I have no questions. Does anybody want a formal proffer?

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, I don't believe it's necessary. Our objection is procedurally legal.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MS. SAPEIKA: Okay.

21 THE COURT: You need not.

22 MS. SAPEIKA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, with that, what we will do is
make that last modification and then present the order to the

Court.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. STROCHAK: Good afternoon, Judge. Adam Strochak, Weil Gotshal and Manges, for the debtors.

The next matters on the agenda are the debtors' -Number 8 on the agenda, the debtors' motion for an order
seeking approval of an information access agreement with the
FDIC. And Number 9, a similar motion with respect to a similar
agreement between the debtors and JPMorgan Chase.

I'd like to take those two together, if we could, because they're very similar, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STROCHAK: Let me set the table, if I could, with just a little bit of background. We started to work on these agreements less than a week after the seizure of the bank in late September and the commencement of these cases. And the genesis was -- for these agreements was a discussion with the FDIC very early on where the FDIC and the debtors recognized very quickly that we were going to need to take some steps to ensure that the FDIC had access to records that it needed, and the debtors had access to records that they needed.

If you can envision, a very large office building in Seattle filled with all types of records, electronic paper, and everything else, with no clear indication on many of those records whether they were holding company debtor records, pure

bank only records, or records that might implicate both entities.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, very quickly, the parties realized that there was 4 no really efficient way to deal with this situation other than some type of categorical treatment, and we came up with the very simple Category 1, 2, and 3 records reflecting bank only in Category 1. Mixed records in Category 2. And holding company only in Category 3.

And we worked out with the FDIC a series of protocols for how we would handle requests for access to those documents, how we would deal with situations concerning potential privileges that might be applicable where the FDIC as receiver, and the debtors, might share a privilege. And obviously there were concerns about waiver of applicable privileges, so we tried to address those.

And we came up with a -- I would call it a framework, which is now incorporated into the FDIC, and then later the JPM information, access agreements.

The debtors -- these were very heavily negotiated with multiple parties. The Creditors' Committee participated, we received input from other creditor constituencies, the bondholder groups. Obviously the FDIC was represented, JPMorgan was represented, and we had a very -- I would call these very heavily lawyered agreements with a lot of negotiation over both conceptual and language issues.

took us a while to get to it.

But what we finally arrived with was two agreements that the debtors are confident will give them the ability to access information they need for purposes of the Chapter 11 cases, to provide information to the Creditors' Committee and other creditor constituencies in the case, including the bondholder groups, to be able to obtain information necessary to respond to governmental inquiries, the investigations that are going on, as well, Your Honor, any future litigation, including, you know, the securities litigation in whatever shape or form that may go forward against the debtors as either parties are non-parties, in the future.

So, we're comfortable that the agreements do what we need them to do. The -- there is a provision in each of the orders that provides that the Court order is essentially an order under Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, a new provision recently enacted that allows for a court authorization of what is essentially a non-waiver agreement between the parties.

So, what we're saying is it may be necessary for us to share privileged information, and that the Court would be ordering that such sharing between the debtors, and either FDIC or JPMorgan would not be a waiver of that privilege as to any third party.

There has been one objection filed to both motions,

1 Your Honor. It's by the lead plaintiff in the Federal securities action in Washington. We have engaged in negotiations with counsel for the lead plaintiff, and I think we may have resolved everything. I'm not quite sure, I'm going to have to defer to them as to whether the last issue is resolved.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

From our perspective, we think we've resolved everything. We've added language to the order in the -- in a new penultimate paragraph of the order that basically says, you know, except with respect to the 502(d) aspect of this, that, you know, nothing in the agreement or the Court order that might be entered approving them will alter any parties' discovery obligations in the securities litigation. alter any parties' obligations under the existing protective order in that case. It won't preclude the plaintiffs in the litigation from challenging an assertion of privilege, except obviously with respect to, you know, a waiver argument, which is protected under 502(d). And it won't alter any existing document preservation requirements.

So, the open issue -- what may be the open issue is the plaintiffs have asked for language that would essentially impose a very broad document preservation requirement on the debtors, on JPMorgan and on FDIC. And I think conceptually, certainly from the debtors' perspective, it is our intention to comply with all document preservation requirements and, in

1 fact, you know, a significant driver of these agreements from our perspective was to be able to get access to what we needed in order to respond to inquiries and discovery requests. So, our intention certainly is to preserve whatever is necessary.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The idea, though, of converting this proceeding into a document -- essentially a document -- a request for a document preservation order, we don't think really is tenable at this point. And the reason for that is that there obviously -- again, from a practical perspective, you have literally an office building filled with records, the vast majority of which probably have no relationship to the securities litigation. But certainly no easy way to tell, and we don't think it's appropriate here and now to have a very, very broad preservation order entered. In the event that it ever should be necessary, it could be done as a separate proceeding. could be done in another context, and we're happy to continue to talk and work with the securities' plaintiffs to give them some comfort and assurance that what we're doing is in accordance with all preservation requirements.

But we don't think it's right for this proceeding now to try and adjudicate the scope of any explicit retention order.

That's all I have, Your Honor. I suspect that the FDIC and JPMorgan may have some comments, as well.

MR. SIMON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chris Simon on behalf of the lead plaintiffs.

With me today on the phone is Michael Etkin of the firm Lowenstein and Sandler, and he may have some comments with respect to the FDIC.

I will take debtors' counsel's representations. We will take that to heart, and I think that should resolve the last remaining objection with respect to the document preservation.

It is very important to us, and I believe other creditors, other parties in interest that documents be preserved. And with representations of counsel from the FDIC and Chase, I think we will be okay. I trust we will be okay, but I would just -- we want to make sure that there is nothing on the record that we are consenting to the destruction --

THE COURT: To the destruction of documents.

MR. SIMON: -- of the documents. And nothing in the record that gives anybody the idea the documents should be disposed of or can be disposed of.

In the motions, there was numerous representations made about how this was, I guess, closing the document issue, with a comprehensive agreement. And we want to make sure that certainly we view one of the components of any comprehensive document plan -- we view the destruction of documents as part of that. So, we would accept those representations, and I

believe I have nothing further, I don't know if Mr. Etkin has anything he wants to add on the record.

MR. ETKIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. No, I think
-- I thank Mr. Simon for deferring to me. But he set forth our
position. We are concerned about that issue, but given the
modification that's been made, which we appreciate, which takes
care of most of our significant concerns, we'll deal with the
preservation issue separately, as Mr. Strochak has suggested.
And he -- we're confident that given the parties involved that
we'll be able to resolve that, should the need arise.

MR. SIMON: And with that, Your Honor, I believe that resolves our objection. The language appears to be appropriate, and we will go from there.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does any other party wish to be heard then on the -- either motion?

MS. FELDSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Hydee Feldstein of Sullivan and Cromwell appearing on behalf of JPMorgan Chase.

For the clarity of the record, I'd like to read the language that we have agreed to, which I think is self-evident. I don't think anything in the motion would possibly have given rise to a contrary implication, but I'm a little bit concerned about statements regarding representations of counsel. And I think all we've done here is we've agreed to the following

language:

"Ordered that except as provided in the preceding paragraph with respect to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), nothing in the JPM information access agreement or this order shall: A, alter the discovery rights of the plaintiff in the matter of Washington Mutual's securities litigation, lead Case Number C08-387-MJP, the securities litigation; B, alter the rights of any person or entity to the extent bound by the stipulated protective order entered in the securities litigation or obligations of any party to the stipulated protected order entered in this securities litigation; C, preclude plaintiffs in the securities litigation from challenging any assertion of confidentiality or privilege; Or, D, alter any existing obligation to preserve documents. And it is further ordered."

So, I think that the language that at least we had agreed to was what we believed was the case in any event, that nothing in this motion or this order alters any rights of any third parties.

My client is not a party to the underlying litigation, and I certainly wouldn't want to be in a position where any statements made here today would be taken as implying that my client had agreed to be bound by anything in the underlying litigation or to any obligation that it has not otherwise agreed to with respect to preservation of records.

THE COURT: All right. 1 2 Thank you. MS. FELDSTEIN: 3 MR. CLEARY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May it please the Court. Blake Cleary of Young Conaway Stargatt and 5 Taylor on behalf of the FDIC. With me today is John Clarke from the law firm of DLA 6 7 A pro hac motion has been filed, and it will make its 8 way to your chambers, I'm sure, shortly. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. CLEARY: If he may be heard? THE COURT: He may be heard. 11 MR. CLARKE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 12 John 13 Clarke on behalf of the FDIC. 14 Very briefly, I just wanted to make the same 15 clarification. It sounds like we have -- we're on the same page with the lead plaintiffs about the motion. And we just 16 17 didn't want the record to have any suggestion that the FDIC had agreed to assume any document preservation obligation that it 18 19 does not already have. But I think Ms. Feldstein's 20 clarification would be the same with respect to the order for 21 the FDIC agreement. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Do I have a form of order that -- I'm

25

sorry.

MR. ROSEN: I do have orders, Your Honor. I apologize, we had some gremlins and for some reason, I didn't end up with a black line. But the only change is the penultimate paragraph, that's the new paragraph that Ms. Feldstein read.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROSEN: And I'll hand you an order for each one - I've got two different ones. I'm also going to hand you,
Your Honor, the previous order, if I may.

THE COURT: All right. You may.

11 (Pause)

12 THE COURT: All right. I'll enter those two orders.

MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the next item on the agenda is the debtors' motion for an order seeking approval of a stipulation by and between the debtors and JPMorgan Chase concerning certain vendor contracts. If the Court will recall, I think it was my first appearance before you. I think you kept saying where is the vendor stipulation, and when are you going to file it? Well, we did ultimately get it filed, Your Honor, and we're here today to deal with this one.

Your Honor, we did receive a few limited responses to it. And if the Court would like, I'm happy to go through what the terms of the stipulation are, but I think those were pretty well articulated in the motion itself.

But if I could just address at the outset the limited responses, and I could group them also. There were three that were filed: One by Concur Technologies, Microsoft and ADT Securities Services.

Each of those -- and the second one of Microsoft merely joined in the one of Concur. They were looking for reservation of rights language with respect to the counterparties. And I'd like to make clear that the purpose of the stipulation was in no way an attempt to, in any way, affect the rights of any counterparty to an agreement between WMI and that counterparty.

The benefit that we were looking to derive from the stipulation was to have JPMorgan have relief from the automatic stay so that it could go out there and actually talk to our counterparties and actually service those contracts on our behalf and actually pay for the services that are being rendered by each of the counterparties.

So, we are not, in any way, offended by the request for the reservation of rights, and we are happy to insert language in the proposed order. And we have, in fact, developed language with respect to that. And we have circulated, and I could just read that to the Court now so that the Court understands what we were suggesting to be done:

"Ordered that the stipulation in this order, (i) do not impose any obligations on any vendor that do not already

1 exist under any governing contract with the debtors or applicable law; (ii) do not modify the terms of any contract between any vendor and the debtors; and, (iii) are without prejudice to any vendors' rights and remedies pursuant to its contract or contracts with the debtors or any applicable law, including, but not limited to, Sections 362 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, and it is further."

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Your Honor, with that, I believe we resolved the limited responses by Concur, Microsoft, and to a certain extent, ADT. ADT did have some additional concerns that were articulated to us. And, however, we believe that after discussions, they do not have anymore objection to the proposed order.

AT&T has also filed an objection. And they had several concerns, Your Honor, one of which was the reservation of rights. And they are -- they are fine with the proposed language that we have included in the proposed order. second, Your Honor, was with respect to an issue of confidentiality. They were concerned, Your Honor, that because Verizon is a member of the Committee, that somehow if, in fact, contracts were provided to the Creditors' Committee that that would then be disseminated over to Verizon and then, therefore, whatever trade secrets they have might have been passed across the border there.

So, we have agreed, Your Honor, and they have asked

1 us to represent on the record, and we're happy to do so, that with respect to their objection relating to providing information to Verizon, the debtors, the Committee and Verizon will agree that no AT&T contracts or other information, including but not limited to rates, pricing services, et cetera, will be provided to Verizon as a member of the Committee and a direct competitor of AT&T. And that, as I said, we will place this on the record.

I believe that that has been agreed to by the Creditors' Committee. Mr. Hodara is here, and he can so represent that, as well.

MR. HODARA: That's correct, Your Honor. And Verizon has specifically agreed to that as a member of the Committee.

THE COURT: All right.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, IBM also submitted an objection. And they had several concerns, one of which was the reservation of rights. They -- there was a question with respect to getting notice. Specifically when we receive notice from JPMorgan pursuant to the terms of the stipulation, it sets off a 20-day timing mechanism. And they have asked us that we would provide notice to them that if, in fact, IBM would be removed from -- their contracts would be removed from that list, and we are agreeable and will so provide that notice to IBM.

Your Honor, there were two other entities that had

1 asked for an extension of time to object: One was something called Tajima Creative, Inc. (phonetic) and the other was with respect to certain noteholders. Neither of those parties -we did provide that extension, Your Honor, and neither of those parties did file an objection.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, there was also a question concerning Paragraph 2 of the stipulation, and specifically a sentence near the end of Paragraph 2. And it was concerning certain amounts that are going to be paid by JPMorgan. Specifically, Your Honor, the sentence in Paragraph 2 reads, "Amounts paid after the commencement date by JPMorgan Chase pursuant hereto on account of any claim for payment by a counterparty under any," and I'll short form, "contract, including all fees, expenses, liabilities or any other obligations in respect thereof shall be credited on a dollar-for-dollar basis against any amounts owed to the debtors under that certain administrative services contract dated as of the first day of May, 2006, between Washington Mutual Bank and WMI, any other intercompany arrangement or applicable law."

As I said, Your Honor, there was some question with respect to what that meant. And we just would like to put on the record that that was inserted to assure JPMorgan that it does not have to pay for such services twice. Once directly to the vendor pursuant to the stipulation, and once to WMI under the admin services agreement.

So, this is merely to reflect that they have the ability to get that credit.

Lastly, Your Honor, there was an objection interposed by the United States Trustee. And I would say, again, that this might have been a miscommunication as to what we were trying to convey with respect to the stipulation itself, but one of the questions that was raised by Mr. McMahon on behalf of the United States Trustee was whether or not notice was sent to each contract counterparty because it was his view or the U.S. Trustee's view that notice to each party was required.

Your Honor, as I indicated at the outset, this is a stipulation between us and JPMorgan Chase. It does not affect in any way the rights of any counterparty to any contract. And we did not provide notice because we don't believe that any notice was required under the Bankruptcy Rules.

There was also a suggestion by the United States

Trustee with regard to whether or not documents could be filed under seal with the Court. And, Your Honor, we felt that it was appropriate now to have that relief because we need to protect JPMC's proprietary pricing, and other sensitive commercial information. And we think it would be inappropriate to have any such contract, which would only, Your Honor, be entered into or be used in the context of mitigation of future claims by any counterparty. We don't think it would be appropriate to have those open to the public.

THE COURT: So, are you going to file any contract at 1 2 And when would they be filed? all? 3 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor -- oh --4 (Attorneys conferring off the record) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, it would only be in the event 5 6 that we would be assuming a certain contract. And it would be 7 necessary at that point in time to deal with any perhaps mitigation of damages or cure amounts that otherwise might be alleged. That is it. 10 THE COURT: Well, then why am I entering an order now? Why don't we just wait until that time? 11 (Attorneys conferring off the record) 12 13 MS. FELDSTEIN: Your Honor, Hydee Feldstein of Sullivan and Cromwell on behalf of JPMorgan Chase. 14 15 I'd like to distinguish for the Court what contracts are at issue: 16 17 The first kind of contract would be the contract of the estate to which WMI is a party. If it were to be the case 18 19 that WMI were to assume and assign that contract, that contract 20 would be filed with the Court. 21 THE COURT: Um-hum. MS. FELDSTEIN: If it were the case that WMI were to 22 23 reject that agreement, presumably that agreement could be filed 24 with the Court. 25 The confidentiality that we have requested has

nothing to do with those agreements. The agreements to which WMI is a party are WMI's to file or not file in its sole and absolute discretion.

The confidentiality request in this stipulation arose because the debtors asked, and the Committee asked, that in the event that JPMorgan Chase entered into a JPMorgan Chase agreement with the same vendor that had been a party to a contract with WMI, that the committee and the debtor be permitted access to our proprietary agreement with the vendor on a going forward basis for purposes of determining whether there was a defense to an unsecured claim or to a claim filed in this case in mitigation of damages. There was a fair amount of concern on our part. And if the Court will look at the objections that were filed on the issue of confidentiality in connection with this stipulation, I hope that the Court will conclude that we struck the appropriate balance.

On the one hand, the U.S. Trustee had requested less confidentiality and AT&T had requested more confidentiality. What we have tried to do is give the debtor and the Committee the access they need to information for legitimate purposes in this case without having proprietary information become a matter of public record.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FELDSTEIN: And that's all it is.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. FELDSTEIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I am not going to require that that be made -- I will approve the confidentiality. Because as I understand it, that's not being made part of the record.

To the extent some issue comes forward in the future, then somebody can ask to -- if they need to be filed of record, they file a motion to file it under seal because there is a confidentiality agreement.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, good afternoon. Joseph McMahon again.

And I'll be very brief. I want to respond to the point raised by debtors' counsel with respect to service on the contract counterparties.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. McMAHON: If you take a look at Paragraph 14B of the motion, part of the stipulation involves JPMorgan Chase's agreement to provide copies of any new agreements agreed to with the vendors -- with the debtors and the Creditors' Committee the provision we're talking about, subject to the confidentiality provisions set forth in the proposed order.

Now, if I'm a contract counterparty to a potential agreement that may not be in existence as of yet, I -- that is precisely the type of obligation being set forth in a court order that I would want to be aware of today before I obligated

1 myself pursuant to an agreement. It very well may be that the confidentiality provisions set forth in the proposed order will impose separate and/or distinction obligations than any provisions that may be agreed to in any contract relating to confidentiality. Certainly the debtors have to agree with me that that is something that the contract counterparties would have a distinct interest in.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With respect to the point made by JPMorgan Chase's counsel, and the one, Your Honor, acknowledges. I'm -- our business here today is not to get involved in any information sharing between JPMorgan Chase on the one hand, and the Committee and/or the debtors on the other. That's not our issue.

But to the extent that there is going to be a document that's going to be, I guess, lodged with the Court at some future date, I don't know what that information is as of yet. I don't know whether any of it is entitled to be sealed or not. Ergo, I think that the appropriate time to bridge that issue, if I understand Your Honor's comments correctly, is at the time the -- a party seeks to lodge a document with the Court.

I think that's correct. I think that THE COURT: there are many documents that parties have subject to confidentiality agreements among themselves. And the issue only comes up when somebody in connection with litigation or a dispute files a motion to seal certain documents because they are subject to a confidentiality agreement. And then if somebody objects to that and thinks that's not appropriate, they can object to that.

But at this point, I'm allowing the parties the confidentiality they've agreed to.

MR. ROSEN: Thank you. Your Honor, if I could address Mr. McMahon's first point? And perhaps I got a little bit confused on that. He's focused on Paragraph 14B that says, "JPMorgan shall agree to provide copies of any new agreements agreed to with the vendors to the debtors, and the Committee." And he's concerned that the vendor doesn't know that that new agreement is going to be given to us, I think that's what his articulated objection is.

THE COURT: As the notice issue, yes.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the vendor is going to be having discussions with JPMorgan. They can be well aware, they can sign that agreement, they can not be -- they can not sign that agreement, and they will have notice at that point in time that it's going to be provided to the debtor if, in fact, they do it.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, if I can just be very clear about what I'm saying here. We're talking about agreements that may not exist or not yet in being. If I'm a contract counterparty, and there is a provision of this stipulation

which is going to permit, subject to Court order, JPMorgan to share the agreement with the debtors and the Creditors'

Committee subject to the provisions of this order, I think I'm entitled to a copy of service of this motion so that I can understand what it is that I'm going to be obligated to do with respect to a contract that may not even exist yet. That's the simple point we're trying to make. I mean it's --

THE COURT: Well, would -- to the extent that -- I mean service of the order approving the stipulation? Or to the extent that require that JPMorgan provide a copy of the -- this paragraph to --

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- anybody it negotiates with?

MR. ROSEN: JPMorgan is perfectly prepared to live by the terms of the Court order, and they will certainly tell every counterparty they're talking to of its existence.

MS. FELDSTEIN: Your Honor, Hydee Feldstein again.

Very briefly. I don't want to be subject to a Court order instructing me to deliver a copy of a Court order here to every counterparty.

But certainly JPMorgan is aware of its obligations under this order. And it is unlikely to be entering into any new agreements with any vendor that would have a confidentiality provision that would be breached by complying with our obligations to give a copy to the debtor and the

Committee.

We understood what the need was in this case. We understood the sensitivity of the contracts. We actually agree with the Office of the U.S. Trustee, that the counterparties are likely to be sensitive to the dissemination of their trade terms as AT&T was, which is precisely why we paid as much attention as we did to the confidentiality and the obligation to seek a motion to file anything under seal, should that prove necessary.

We're hopeful that this is all a tempest in a teapot. That the parties will, in fact, work it out, and it won't be necessary to come to this Court for mitigation of damages claims, or credits, or offsets with respect to new agreements. But we think the estate derives substantial benefit from this stipulation, as well. Both because we have assumed the administrative expenses, and because we have agreed to cooperate to provide the estate with information that otherwise it would have to seek by way of discovery or subpoena for mitigation of damage claims.

This was an effort, Your Honor, to streamline this process with as little court and lawyer involvement as possible. So, I would ask the Court to please enter the order as submitted. I think the counterparties who are not yet parties to agreements not yet in existence, that will not be agreements with this estate, are not proper parties for a

servicer notice of this motion. And their interests are protected by their ability to sign or not sign a new agreement with JPMorgan Chase.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, one point to close this matter out. At the bottom of Page 5, the top of Page 6 of the proposed form of order, there's language which basically makes the sealing issue a matter for today, a matter that's done. The Clerk of the Court -- the --

THE COURT: Where is settlement order, by the way?

MR. McMAHON: I'm referring to the "notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this order" paragraph at the bottom of Page 5. The debtors and the Creditors' Committee are authorized and directed to file -- well, file and maintain any vendor contract, as well as any other confidentiality information with the, quote, "under seal for in camera review by the Court only," and it goes on to the next page and says that it's only going to be unsealed "unless permitted by further order of the Court."

So, basically it's a burden-shifting provision. It's got to be changed.

THE COURT: Yes, I think so. I think that has to be changed.

MS. FELDSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. It's Hydee Feldstein again.

That was the purpose, in part, of our providing the

contracts. At the end of the day, Your Honor, the alternative is that we don't --

THE COURT: The alternative is the debtor doesn't file it unless it can be filed under seal, and it files a motion.

If the motion is denied, it doesn't file it.

MS. FELDSTEIN: Fair enough, Your Honor. That would work for us.

THE COURT: And I think that's what our -- that's our Local Rules.

MS. FELDSTEIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: We'll just -- all right. If you change that. But otherwise, I think -- and I agree that to the extent there is no party to such a contract now, there is no party to be given notice.

MR. ROSEN: We'll make the change with respect to the in camera review and the filing subsequently, and then we'll provide that on certification of counsel.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KNAPP: Your Honor, this is John Knapp on the phone for Concur Technologies.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. KNAPP: I just wanted to let the Court know that I haven't actually seen the proposed order with my own eyes, but based on what debtors' counsel has said about the language

in terms of a reservation of rights, it sounds like it tracks the requested language that we had in our response, and that would be acceptable to Concur.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KNAPP: Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, you'll see the order when it's circulated.

MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I believe there is one more item -- I'm sorry.

MR. GREY: Excuse me, Your Honor. My name is Joseph Grey, I'm with Stevens and Lee. We filed the limited objection on behalf of IBM.

I rise to introduce my colleague, Timothy Barnes from the Curtis Mallet firm.

Your Honor, this morning, we filed pro hac papers for his admission. And I'd ask that you hear him now.

17 THE COURT: I will.

18 MR. GREY: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BARNES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Tim Barnes from the Curtis Mallet Prevost Colt & Mosle on behalf of IBM Corporation.

Your Honor, as the debtors' counsel pointed out, IBM filed an objection that had kind of broader reaching provisions in it. And based on the reservation language that counsel to

the debtor has read into the record, we haven't seen the order yet, but I think as long as it matches that language that we've heard, I think that handles almost all of our issues. And we, in fact, had some discussions this morning about the procedures under which we would deliver invoices. I think there was confusion whether we were doing business with JPMorgan or WMI going forward. I think we understand to continue to do business with WMI, we would deliver invoices to them.

So, based on all of that, I know that our objection sort of reaches further than that. We believe that our objection is resolved.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, then we have one last item on the calendar, was the one that I referred to as now uncontested.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STROCHAK: Adam Strochak, Weil Gotshal for the debtors.

The last item on the agenda, Your Honor, is the debtors' motion pursuant to the Section 362 of the Code for an order modifying the stay to allow advancement of expenses under directors' and officers' insurance policies. It's a relatively straightforward matter, Your Honor. A comfort order, of sorts, to basically provide that the insurers' -- directors' and officers' insurers can advance defense costs and expenses

to nondebtor individual director and officer defendants in various litigations pending.

2.4

There was one objection filed, again, by the lead plaintiff in the securities litigation. In substance, that objection asked for a clarification that nothing in the order was, you know, making any ruling regarding whether or not these insurance policies were or were not property of the estate. And there have been a series of modifications to the order after negotiations with -- between the Creditors' Committee and representatives of the individual defendants which established a -- essentially a framework for communications between the individual defendants and the debtors and the Creditors' Committee to provide period updates on the status of litigation, period updates on expenses that have been incurred so that the parties in interest can monitor the expenditure under these policies and protect themselves in that way.

The lead plaintiff's objection was resolved by language essentially providing the reservation that, you know, nothing in the order is going to prejudice anyone's parties with respect to applicability of -- or whether or not insurance policies are or are not property of the estate.

I have a red lined and a clean copy of the order I can hand up. I don't know if anyone else wishes to speak on this matter. But that's the extent of my presentation.

THE COURT: All right. You may hand it up.

MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, it's Michael Etkin on the phone.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. ETKIN: Just one thing. Mr. Strochak sent me the revised order, and I read it, or at least attempted to read it off of my Blackberry. It does address the issues that we raised in our objection. Our concern is more the proceeds of the policies and whether the stay is implicated or not, and the language in the order takes care of those issues.

One concern that I have is with new language that I just saw immediately prior to the hearing as it relates to the exchange of information between the Committee and the individual defendants.

To the extent that they've agreed to retain certain information or exchange information, and have agreed that it's confidential as between them, obviously that's their prerogative.

But I just don't want this order to constitute the determination that any of the information is, in fact, confidential. I think, indeed, there's language in the proposed order that talks about it being deemed confidential.

And I just don't think that that's appropriate. You know, to the extent that that becomes an issue down the road, I don't want anyone waving an order in my face saying that, well, this was deemed confidential by a prior order of this Court. To the

1 extent that it becomes an issue, we should certainly have the right to challenge whether some of that information is 2 confidential or not. 3 4 So, that's my only concern and it's a concern that 5 arises from the additional language that's been put in the order recently to deal with, I assume, some issues between the 7 Committee and the directors and officers. THE COURT: Well, would it be satisfactory, I see 8 you're referring to Paragraph 6, simply to state that the 10 parties agree that the litigation information shall be deemed confidential information? 11 12 MR. ETKIN: As between them, I have no problem. 13 the language is as between them, that's fine. I just don't 14 want --15 THE COURT: Is that sufficient for the debtors' and 16 Committee's purpose? 17 MR. STROCHAK: That's the intention, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 So, with that clarification, Your Honor, MR. ETKIN: 20 I have no problem. THE COURT: All right. Then I'll add that to 21 Paragraph 6. And this is the debtor and the Creditors' 22 23 Committee? 24 MR. STROCHAK: I believe that's correct, Your Honor. 25 (Pause)

```
1
             THE COURT: All right. I'll enter the order then by
 2
   agreement.
 3
             MR. ETKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
             MR. STROCHAK: Thank you, Judge.
 5
             THE COURT: And we're done for today?
             MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, that concludes today's
 6
 7
   agenda.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. We'll stand adjourned. Thank
 9
   you.
10
        (Whereupon, at 12:58 P.M. the hearing was adjourned.)
11
12
                              CERTIFICATE
13
        I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
14
15
   the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
16
   above-entitled matter.
17
18
    /s/ Karen Hartmann
19
                                      Date: December 18, 2008
20
   TRANSCRIPTS PLUS
21
22
23
24
25
```

	39:9	38:18 39:24	ASPECT- 24:10	BLAKE- 29:4
<u>&</u>	ACROSS- 32:23	40:10,23 41:23	ASSERTION- 24:16	BONDHOLDER- 15:21
&- 45:21	ACTING- 17:5	42:13,24,25	28:13	22:22 23:7
<u> </u>	ACTION- 24:2	AKIN- 10:14 12:20	ASSET-	BORDER- 32:24
/	ADAM- 21:3 46:17	ALAN- 9:8,12	17:12,17,23	BOTH- 22:1,25
/s/- 50:19	ADD- 27:2 49:21	ALBERINO-	ASSETS- 16:20	23:25 42:15
	ADDED- 24:8	12:19,20	17:7,16 19:6,15	BOTTOM- 43:5,11
1	ADDITION- 18:19	14:6,14,20	ASSIGN- 36:19	BOUND- 28:8,23
1- 22:6,7	ADDITIONAL-	ALLEGED- 36:9	ASSOCIATED- 15:17	BREACHED- 41:24
10- 15:4		ALLEVIATE 17:19	ASSUME- 29:18	
11- 8:16 10:3	32:10			BRIAN- 8:2
15:11 23:4	49:5	ALLOW- 10:4 46:21	36:19 49:6	BRIDGE- 39:18
1102B3- 12:23	ADDRESS- 13:19	ALLOWANCE - 8:15	ASSUMED- 42:15	BRIEF- 38:12
	17:9 22:15 31:1	ALLOWING- 40:5	ASSUMING- 36:6	BROAD- 24:22
12:58- 50:10	40:8 48:6	ALLOWS- 23:17	ASSURANCE- 25:19	25:14
14B- 38:16 40:9	ADDRESSED- 12:5	ALTER-	ASSURE- 34:22	BROADER- 45:24
18- 50:19	17:8	24:12,14,18	AT&T- 32:14	BUILDING- 21:22
1 st - 9:5	ADEQUATE- 18:15	28:5,7,14	33:4,7 37:18 42:6	25:11
	19:9	ALTERNATIVE-	ATTACHED- 13:6	BURDEN- 17:19
2	ADJOURNED-	44:1,3	ATTEMPT- 31:9	18:20 19:4
2- 22:6,7	50:8,10	ALTERS- 28:18	ATTEMPTED- 17:7	
34:7,8,10	ADJOURNMENT-	ALVAREZ - 20:8		BURDEN-SHIFTING-
2002- 19:23			48:5	43:19
2006- 34:18	9:14	AMONG- 39:24	ATTENTION- 42:7	BUSINESS- 9:23
	ADJUDICATE-	AMOUNT- 37:12	ATTORNEY- 11:21	16:11,12 19:17
2008- 50:19	25:22	AMOUNTS-	ATTORNEYS-	39:10 46:6,8
20DAY- 33:20	ADMIN- 8:15	34:9,10,16 36:8	36:4,12	
25[™]- 11:19	34:25	AND/OR- 39:3,12	AUTHORIZATION-	C
l 	ADMINISTRATION-	ANSWER- 12:7,8	23:18	C08387MJP- 28:7
3	13:2	20:11	AUTHORIZED- 43:13	CALENDAR- 8:5 9:3
3- 22:6,8	ADMINISTRATIVE-	ANTICIPATE- 19:1	AUTOMATIC- 10:4	10:7 46:14
30 TH - 9:18	15:16 34:17	ANTICIPATED-		CALL- 16:12
362- 32:6 46:20	42:16		31:13	22:16,23
363- 19:16	ADMISSION- 45:16	18:18,22 20:3	AVAILABLE-	'
365- 32:6		ANYMORE- 32:12	20:10,12	CALLED- 34:2
303- 32.0	ADT- 31:3 32:10	ANYONE'S- 47:19	AWARE- 38:25	CALLS- 16:2
5	ADVANCE- 46:25	APOLOGIZE- 13:22	40:17 41:21	CAMERA- 43:15
- 	ADVANCEMENT-	30:2	l ————	44:17
5- 12:21 43:5,12	10:4	APPEARANCE- 30:17	В	CAN- 8:8 9:23
502D- 23:16	46:21	APPEARING- 27:18	BACK- 8:19	19:21 21:22 26:19
24:10,18 28:3	ADVISOR- 10:18	APPEARS- 27:12	BACKGROUND- 21:14	33:10 38:7
503B9- 8:16	ADVISORS- 20:9	APPLICABILITY-	BALANCE- 37:16	40:4,17,18,22
	AFFECT- 31:9	47:20	BANK- 21:15	41:4 44:4 46:25
6	35:12		22:1,6 34:18	47:15,23
6- 8:23 43:5	AFTERNOON- 12:19	APPLICABLE-		CAPITAL- 15:3,4
49:9,22		22:12,14 32:2,5	BANKRUPTCY- 13:2	16:2,12
/	17:4 21:3 26:1	34:19	32:7 35:15	•
8	27:3,17 29:3	APPLICATION-	BARNES- 45:13,20	CARE- 27:7 48:9
8- 21:6	38:10 45:20	10:11,16,17,18	BASED- 8:18 44:25	CARRIED- 9:15
	AGAINST- 23:11	11:3,18 12:3,4,10	45:25 46:9	CASE- 18:5 23:6
9	34:15	APPRECIATE- 27:6	BASIS- 10:24	24:15 28:6,17
	AGENDA- 10:2	APPROACH- 11:5	34:15 37:10	36:18,22 37:12,21
9- 21:8	11:17 12:21	14:6 17:16	BECOME- 9:6 37:21	42:2
l 	14:24	APPROPRIATE-	BECOMES - 9:18	CASES- 10:18
A	21:5,6 30:14	16:20,22 17:2,9	48:23 49:1	15:11 21:16 23:5
ABBREVIATED-	46:19 50:7	25:14 27:13		CATEGORICAL 22:5
17:10	AGENT- 9:1 13:2		BELIEF- 18:13	CATEGORIES- 13:10
ABILITY- 23:3	AGREE- 19:14	35:19,25 37:16	BELIEVED- 28:17	CATEGORY-
35:2 43:2		39:18 40:3 48:22	BELIEVES- 16:19	
ABLE- 16:18 23:7	33:4	APPROVAL- 8:25	20:13	22:6,7,8
25:2 27:10	39:5 40:10 42:3	12:22 21:7 30:15	BENEFIT- 31:12	CERTAIN- 10:4
ABOVE-ENTITLED-	44:13 49:10	APPROVE- 13:1	42:14	11:23 13:11,16
50:16	AGREEABLE - 33:23	14:25 38:3	BETWEEN- 21:9	15:1,2,5 18:24
ABSOLUTE - 37:3	AGREED- 8:19	APPROVING- 24:12	23:19,22 30:15	30:16 32:9
ACCEPT- 26:25	27:21,25	41:9	31:10 32:3 34:18	34:3,8,16 36:6
	28:17,23,25	ARGUMENT- 24:17	35:12 39:11	40:1 48:14
ACCEPTABLE 45:3	29:18	ARISE- 27:10	47:9,11 48:12,16	CERTAINLY- 20:12
ACCESS- 12:23	32:25 33:9,13	ARISES- 49:5	49:6,12,13	24:24 25:4,13
21:7,20,21	38:18 39:4	AROSE- 37:4	BILL- 18:2	26:23 28:21 39:5
22:10,18 23:4	40:6,11 42:16			41:15,21 49:1
25:2 28:4 37:9,20	48:14,15	ARRANGEMENT-	BIT- 21:14 27:23	CERTIFICATE-
ACCORDANCE- 25:20	AGREEMENT- 8:17	34:19	40:9	19:25 50:12
ACCOUNT- 34:12	9:1 17:23 18:22	ARRIVED- 23:2	BLACK- 13:22,23	
ACKNOWLEDGES-	21:7,9 23:18	ARTICULATED-	14:1,4,13 30:3	CERTIFICATION-
		30:25 32:11 40:14	BLACKBERRY- 48:6	8:18 14:1 44:18
1	24:11 26:22 28:4			
I	i	I	I	I

CERTIFY- 50:14	16:9,15 49:16	15:10 18:15	49:22	17:19
CETERA- 33:6	COMMUNICATE-	CONSTITUTE - 48:18	CREDITS- 42:13	DESKS- 18:2
	15:25	CONSULT- 15:25		
CHAIRS- 18:3			CRIMINAL- 11:20	DESTRUCTION-
CHALLENGE 49:2	COMMUNICATION-	CONSULTING- 10:17	CROMWELL- 27:18	26:15,16,24
CHALLENGING-	16:23 18:14	CONTESTED- 8:24	36:14	DETAILED- 15:8
24:16 28:13	COMMUNICATIONS-	10:5	CURE- 36:8	DETERMINATION-
CHAMBERS- 29:8	15:20 18:17	CONTEXT- 25:17	CURRENT- 14:14	48:19
CHANGE - 30:3	47:11	35:23	CURTIS- 45:14,21	DETERMINE- 17:1
44:12,16	COMPANIES- 15:5	CONTINUE- 9:3		DETERMINING-
CHANGED- 43:20,22	COMPANY- 21:25	15:25 16:4 25:17	D	37:10
CHANGES- 13:9	22:8	46:7	DAMAGE- 42:19	DEVELOPED- 31:21
CHAPTER- 15:11	COMPARISON- 19:6	CONTINUED-	DAMAGES- 36:8	DIDN'T- 29:17
23:4	COMPENSATION-	8:11,13	37:12 42:12	30:2
CHASE- 21:9 26:13	13:12	CONTRACT-	DATE- 15:19 18:17	DIFFERENT- 18:1
27:19 30:16 34:11	COMPETITOR- 33:7	32:1,2,5 34:13,17	34:11 39:16 50:19	19:13 30:8
35:12 36:14 37:6	COMPLY- 24:25	35:9,13,22	DATED- 34:17	DIFFICULT- 18:23
39:11 43:3	COMPLYING- 41:24	36:1,6,17,19 37:8	DAY- 12:18 34:17	DIRECTED- 43:13
CHASE'S- 38:17	COMPONENTS-	38:14,22 39:4,6	44:1	DIRECTLY- 34:23
39:8	26:23	40:24 41:6 43:14	DAYS- 16:11	DIRECTOR- 47:1
CHRIS- 26:1	COMPREHENSIVE-	44:14	DAYS'- 16:12	DIRECTORS- 49:7
CIRCULATED- 13:23	26:22,23	CONTRACTS- 30:16	DE- 19:13,14,15	DIRECTORS'-
31:22 45:7	COMPRISED- 15:4	31:15 32:5,21	DEAL- 22:4,11	46:22,24
CLAIM- 8:16 34:12	CONAWAY- 29:4	33:4,22 36:15	27:7 30:20 36:7	DISCOVERY- 24:13
37:11	CONCEPTUAL-	42:3 44:1	49:6	25:3 28:5 42:18
CLAIMS- 35:24	22:25	CONTRARY- 27:23	DEBTOR- 12:1	DISCRETION- 37:3
42:13,19	CONCEPTUALLY-	43:11	13:21 18:3	DISCUSS- 16:5
CLARIFICATION-	24:23	CONVERTING- 25:6	19:14,16,19,21	DISCUSSED- 14:13
29:15,20 47:5	CONCERN- 37:13	CONVEY- 35:6	21:25 37:8,19	DISCUSSION- 21:17
49:19	48:7,10 49:4	COOPERATE- 42:17	40:20 41:25 44:3	DISCUSSIONS-
CLARIFY- 12:25	CONCERNED-	COPIES- 38:18	46:1 49:22	10:21 32:12 40:17
CLARITY- 27:20	27:5,23 32:19	40:10	DEBTORS- 11:19,25	46:4
CLARKE-	40:12	COPY- 14:1,2,4	12:4 13:8 14:12	DISPOSED- 26:19
29:6,12,13,23	CONCERNING-	41:4,10,19,25	15:1,14,19 16:21	DISPUTE- 40:1
CLEAN- 47:22	13:17	47:22	18:20,25 19:3,24	DISPUTES- 13:17
CLEAR- 9:14 21:24	22:11 30:16	CORPORATION-	21:4,9,18,21	DISSEMINATED-
31:8 40:22	34:6,8	45:22	22:13,19	32:22
CLEARY- 29:3,4,10	CONCERNS- 11:2	CORRECT- 33:12	23:3,11,22 24:23	DISSEMINATION-
CLERK- 43:8	16:9 22:14 27:7	39:22 49:24 50:14	30:15 32:1,3,5	42:5
CLOSE- 43:4	32:10,15 33:16	CORRECTLY- 39:19	33:3 34:16 37:5	DISTINCT- 39:7
CLOSING- 26:21	CONCLUDE - 37:16	COST- 17:20,24	38:19 39:5,12	DISTINCTION - 39:3
CODE- 32:7 46:20	CONCLUDES- 50:6	COSTS- 15:16	40:11 41:2 43:12	DISTINCTION- 39.3
COLLEAGUE- 45:13	CONCUR- 31:3,6	46:25	46:18 47:12	36:15
COLT- 45:21	32:9 44:21 45:3	COUNSEL- 8:18	DEBTORS'- 11:17	DISTRICT-
COME- 17:8 18:21	CONDUCTED- 11:21	11:18,22 13:4,24	13:4,24 14:24,25	11:22,23,24
42:12	CONFER- 13:16	24:3 26:12 27:24	15:4,7,11 18:13	DLA- 29:6
COMES- 38:6 39:25	CONFERRING-	38:13 39:9	19:13,14 20:9	DOCUMENT - 18:23
COMES= 38.6 39.25 COMFORT= 25:19	36:4,12	44:18,25 45:23,25	21:5,6 24:24 26:6	
46:23	CONFIDENT- 23:3	COUNSEL'S- 26:6	30:14 38:13 44:25	19:1 24:19,22,25 25:7,8 26:8,21,24
COMFORTABLE-	27:9	COUNTERPARTIES-	45:23 46:20 49:15	29:18 39:15,20
23:13	CONFIDENTIAL-	31:8,15,17 38:14	DECEMBER- 9:17	DOCUMENTS - 22:10
COMMENCEMENT-	13:20	39:6 42:4,23	50:19	26:11,16,17,18,24
21:16 34:11	48:16,20,21,25	COUNTERPARTY-	DECLARATION- 12:5	28:14 35:17 39:23
COMMENT = 10:25	49:3,11	31:10,11 34:12	DEEMED- 48:21,25	40:1
COMMENTS - 13:3,8	CONFIDENTIALITY-	35:9,13,24 38:22	49:10	DOESN'T- 16:3
25:25 26:4 39:19	13:17,18 28:13	40:25 41:16,20	DEFENDANTS-	40:12 44:3,6
	32:19 36:25	COURSE- 19:17	47:1,10,12 48:13	DOLLAR-FOR-
COMMERCIAL 35:21	37:4,14,18	COURTROOM- 20:10	DEFENSE- 37:11	DOLLAR-34:15
COMMITTEE- 8:20 10:11,14 12:20	38:4,9,21	CREATIVE- 34:2	46:25	DOLLARS- 17:14
15:20 16:1,11	39:2,5,24 40:2,6	CREDIT- 35:2	DEFER- 24:5	DRIVER- 25:1
19:23 22:20 23:5	41:24 42:7 43:14	CREDITED- 34:15	DEFERRING- 27:4	DKIVEK- 25.1
32:20,21	CONFUSED- 40:9	CREDITOR- 22:21	DELIVER- 41:19	E
33:3,7,10,13	CONFUSION- 46:6	23:6	46:5,8	EACH- 15:17 17:22
37:5,8,19 38:20	CONNECTION- 10:3	CREDITORS- 10:15	DELL- 8:15	18:21 19:5 23:14
39:12 40:11 41:3	12:1 18:24 19:2	26:11	DENIED- 44:6	30:7 31:5,17
42:1 43:12	37:15 39:25	CREDITORS'- 8:20	DEPOSIT- 8:12	35:9,10
47:9,13 48:12	CONSENTING-	10:11 12:20 15:20	DERIVE- 31:12	EARLY- 21:18
49:7,23	26:15	22:20 23:5 32:21	DERIVES- 42:14	EASY- 25:13
COMMITTEE'S-	CONSIDER- 17:24	33:10 38:19 41:2	DESCRIBED- 15:6	EFFECTIVE- 9:5
10:17 12:21	19:10	43:12 47:9,12	DESIGNED- 15:10	EFFECTIVELY- 9:17
	CONSISTENCY-			EFFCIIVELL 9.1/

EFFICIENT- 22:4 **EXPLICIT-** 25:22 23:11 29:24 34:13 GUMP- 10:14 12:20 INCURRED- 47:14 **EFFORT-** 42:20 **EXTENDED-** 13:12 43:6 INDEED- 48:20 FORMAL- 18:14 Η ELECTRONIC- 21:23 INDICATED- 9:16 EXTENSION-HAC- 29:7 45:15 50:15 34:1,4 20:16 35:11 FORTH- 8:20 12:5 **HAND-** 12:14 14:3 **ENABLE-** 15:14 **EXTENT-** 19:15 INDICATION- 21:24 15:6 19:9 27:4 30:7,8 37:17 **ENACTED-** 23:17 28:8 32:10 38:6 INDIVIDUAL-38:21,24 39:2 39:11 47:23,25 47:1,10,12 48:13 ENGAGED- 24:2 39:14 41:8,10 FORWARD- 8:24 **HANDLE-** 22:10 44:13 47:24 INFORMATION-ENGAGEMENT-48:14,23 49:1 10:10 16:4 23:11 HANDLES- 46:3 12:22 13:20 18:16 13:6,15 37:10 38:6 46:7 EXTRAORDINARY-**HAPPY-** 12:7 13:25 19:10 21:7 22:18 **ENSURE-** 21:20 ENTER- 11:1,12 13:14 FRAMEWORK- 22:16 16:4 25:17 30:23 23:4,5,7,21 28:4 EYES- 44:24 47:11 31:19 33:1 33:3,4 35:21 14:21 19:25 30:12 FRANKLY- 17:15 **HAUER-** 10:14 37:20,21 39:10,16 42:22 50:1 FRED- 10:13 **HAVEN'T-** 44:24 42:17 43:15 **ENTERED-** 24:12 FACE- 48:24 FTI- 10:17 11:1 46:1 48:12,15,19 25:15 28:9,11 FAIR- 37:12 44:7 35:23 37:6 FUNDS- 15:3.5 **HE'S-** 40:9.12 49:2,10,11 **FAIRLY-** 19:5 INPUT- 22:21 **ENTERING-** 36:10 18:25 **HEAR-** 17:3 45:16 FDIC- 21:8,18,20 **FURTHER-** 27:1 **HEARD-** 11:9,11 INQUIRIES- 10:22 41:22 23:8 25:3 ENTITIES- 22:2 22:9,12,17,22 28:15 32:7 43:18 12:12,13 27:16 23:22 24:23 46:10 INQUIRY- 10:20 33:25 29:10,11 46:3 25:25 **FUTURE-** 19:2 **HEARING-** 15:18 **INSERT-** 31:19 **ENTITLED-** 39:17 26:5,12 23:9,12 35:23 **INSERTED-** 34:22 18:6 48:11 50:10 41:4 29:5,13,17,21 38:6 39:16 **HEART-** 26:7 ENTITY- 28:8 INSTRUCTING-**FEDERAL-** 23:16 HEAVILY- 22:19,24 41:19 **ENVISION-** 21:22 24:1 28:3 G **EPIQ-** 13:1 **HELD-** 15:2 **INSTRUMENT-** 17:24 GENERALLY- 17:7 FEE- 13:13 **HERETO-** 34:11 INSURANCE- 10:4 EPIQ'S- 13:4,11 FEES- 34:13 19:7,8 HIGHLIGHT- 15:8 46:22 47:7,20 **EQUITY-** 15:5 **GENESIS-** 21:17 FELD- 10:14 **INSURERS-** 46:25 **ERGO-** 39:18 HODARA- 10:13 **GET-** 8:5 10:7 FELDSTEIN-INSURERS' - 46:24 11:5,7,13 ESPECIALLY- 19:6 27:17,18 29:2 23:1 25:2 30:19 **INTENTION-** 24:24 33:10.12 ESSENTIALLY-30:5 36:13,22 35:2 39:10 25:4 49:17 HOLDING- 21:25 9:2,4 23:15,18 GIVE- 15:10 19:21 37:24 38:1 41:17 INTERCOMPANY-22:7 24:21 25:7 43:23,24 44:7,11 23:3 25:18 37:19 HONOR'S- 18:6 34:19 47:11,18 FELDSTEIN'S-41:25 ESTABLISHED-39:19 **INTEREST-** 14:25 **GIVEN-** 27:5,9,22 29:19 15:4,16 16:24,25 HOPE- 37:15 47:10 40:13 44:15 FELT- 35:18 26:11 39:7 47:15 **ESTATE-** 17:19 HOPEFUL- 42:10 **GIVES-** 26:18 FILE- 18:20 36:18 42:14,17,25 HOUSEKEEPING- 8:4 INTERESTED-**GO-** 23:11 27:13 19:4,19,21,25 19:22,23 47:7,21 10:1 30:19 34:5 36:1 30:23 31:14 **ESTATES-** 19:7 HYDEE- 27:17 **INTERESTS-** 17:15 37:2 38:8 42:8 GOAL- 15:14 36:13 41:17 43:23 43:1 ET- 33:5 GOING- 8:13,24 43:13 44:4,6 INTERPOSED- 35:3 **ETKIN-** 26:3 FILED- 10:25 10:10 17:22 21:19 INTRODUCE- 45:13 27:1,3 48:1,4 12:6 23:9 24:4 30:8,19 **IBM-** 33:15,21,24 INVESTIGATION-49:12,19 50:3 13:3,7 19:20 34:9 36:1 37:10 45:12,21,23 11:20 EVALUATE- 17:1 23:25 29:7 30:20 38:2 39:14,15 IDEA- 25:6 26:18 EVALUATING- 17:6 INVESTIGATIONS-31:3 32:14 35:17 40:13,16,20 **II-** 32:2 23:8 **EVENING-** 13:23 36:2,20,23 41:1,5 43:17 46:7 INVESTMENT- 15:15 III- 32:3 **EVERYONE-** 19:10 47:19 37:11,14 38:7 **IMAGINE-** 17:21 INVESTMENTS-EVERYTHING- 21:24 44:4 45:11,15,24 GOOD- 8:1,2 10:13 IMMEDIATELY-15:1,2,3,5,22 24:4,8 47:3 11:15 12:18,19 17:14 18:18 48:11 **EVIDENCE-** 23:16 FILES- 40:1 44:4 17:4 21:3 26:1 **INVOICES- 13:13** IMPLICATE- 22:1 28:3 FTI.TNG- 44:17 27:3,17 29:3 46:5,8 IMPLICATED- 48:8 **EXCEPT-** 24:10,16 FILLED- 21:23 38:10 45:20 INVOLVEMENT-28:2 IMPLICATION-GOT- 30:8 40:8 25:11 EXCHANGE-27:23 42:21 43:20 FINALLY- 23:2 **INVOLVES-** 38:17 **IMPLYING-** 28:22 48:12,15 FINANCIAL- 10:18 GOTSHAL- 8:3 IRRELEVANT- 9:18 **EXCUSE-** 9:8 45:10 **IMPORTANT-** 26:10 11:20 21:4 46:17 FINE- 14:18 ISN'T- 17:12 EXCUSED- 9:24 IMPOSE- 24:22 GOULDING- 20:8 32:16 ISSUE- 24:5,20 31:25 39:3 12:15 GOVERNING- 32:1 49:13 26:21 27:5,8 **EXISTENCE-** 38:23 INAPPROPRIATE-FIRM- 11:19 26:4 GOVERNMENTAL-32:18 36:16 37:14 35:21 41:16 42:24 29:6 45:14 23:8 38:6 39:13,19,24 **INC-** 8:3 10:17 EXISTING-FIRST- 8:24 **GRANT-** 11:3 40:15 43:7 48:23 24:14,18 28:14 15:3 34:2 10:10 GREMLINS- 30:2 INCORPORATED-49:1 EXPENDITURE-15:22 18:24 **GREY-** 45:10,11,18 **ISSUES-** 9:2 15:13 13:5 22:17 47:15 **GROUP-** 31:2 30:17 22:25 46:3 48:6,9 **EXPENSE-** 8:16 INCORPORATES-34:17 36:17 40:8 GROUPS- 15:21 49:6 **EXPENSES-** 13:14 20:4 FIVE- 16:11,12 16:1 22:22 23:7 IT'S- 17:9 19:1 INCREASING- 16:10 34:14 42:16 18:2 **GUESS-** 17:16 20:17 24:1 46:21,25 47:14 INCREMENTAL-FOCUSED- 40:9 26:21 39:15 25:13,21 40:20 EXPERIENCE- 12:2 17:24 **FOLLOWING-** 27:25

41:7 43:17,19,23 LIKELIHOOD- 9:6 MICHAEL- 26:3 **NEITHER-** 34:3,4 ONE- 8:12 12:25 45:6 46:22 NEW- 9:12 23:16 13:11 17:22 19:13 **LIMITED-** 17:15 48:1 48:1,15 49:4 MICROPHONE- 9:11 20:7 23:25 26:23 19:21 30:22 31:1 24:9 30:4 38:18 ITEM-32:6,9 33:5 MICROSOFT- 31:3,5 40:10,12 41:23 30:7,21 31:3,5,6 8:11,14,23,24 42:13 43:2 48:10 32:15 33:16 34:1 45:11 32:9 10:1,3 11:17 **LINE-** 12:8 MTT.T.TON- 17:14 NICE- 14:3 35:7 37:17 12:21 14:24 30:14 39:9,11 43:4 45:9 13:22,23 MINIMAL- 19:5 NONDEBTOR- 47:1 45:9 46:13,19 14:1,4,13 30:3 46:13,14 47:3 NONETHELESS-MINIMIS-ITEMS- 8:10 10:10 48:4,10 **LINED-** 47:22 19:13,14,15 19:16 ONE-DAY- 9:18 LIOUIDATE-MISCELLANEOUS-NONPARTIES- 23:12 15:2,15 17:12,17 NONWAIVER- 23:18 ONES- 30:8 JANUARY- 9:5 **OPEN-** 15:19 16:22 LIQUIDATING-MISCOMMUNICATION-NOR- 10:25 JOHN- 11:18 12:15 18:17 24:20 35:25 18:3 35:5 NOTEHOLDERS- 34:3 29:6,12 44:20 LIST- 10:19 OPPORTUNITY-MITIGATION- 35:23 NOTEHOLDERS'-JOINED- 31:6 19:22 36:8 37:12 15:12 16:1 **JONATHAN-** 20:8 ORDER- 11:1,4,12 33:23 42:12,19 NOTICE- 10:19 12:14 13:9 JOSEPH- 17:4 **LISTED-** 8:11 MIXED- 22:7 15:10,15 38:10 45:10 10:5 MODIFICATION-14:1,2,15,21 16:10,12,23 17:10 **JPM-** 22:17 28:4 19:18 20:1,4,25 LITERALLY- 25:10 20:4,25 27:6 18:13 19:21 TPMC'S- 35:20 21:6 23:15,16 LITIGATION-MODIFICATIONS-33:18,21,23 JPMORGAN- 21:9 23:9,10 24:13,16 24:8,9,11,15 35:8.10.14.15 13:5,11,19 47:8 22:23 23:23 24:23 25:3,8,15,23 28:6,7,10,11,12, MODIFY- 32:2 40:15,19 43:1 28:4,9,11,18 25:25 27:19 30:16 44:15 MODIFYING- 10:3 29:20.24 31:13 33:19 1,24 **NOTICING-** 17:25 46:21 34:9,11,22 35:12 25:12 39:25 30:7,9,14 MONITOR- 47:15 NOTWITHSTANDING-31:20,24 32:13,17 36:14 37:6 38:17 47:4,14 49:10 **MOOT-** 9:6 43:10 36:10 38:21,25 39:8,11 40:10,17 LITIGATIONS-NUMEROUS- 13:3 MORNING- 8:1,2 41:1,3,9,15,18,19 41:1,10,14,21 11:23 47:2 10:13 11:15 45:15 26:20 , 22 43:3 46:6 LOCAL- 11:22 **NUNC-** 11:19 46:4 39:2 42:22 JUDGE- 21:3 50:4 44:10 MOSLE- 45:21 43:6,9,11,18 JUDICIAL- 11:23 LOCATION- 12:2 **MOTION-** 8:14,25 ORDERED- 28:2,15 **JUMP-** 10:10 IODGE- 39:20 9:7,19 10:3 OBJECT- 15:12 31:24 LODGED- 39:15 34:1 40:4 12:21,23 13:7 ORDERING- 23:22 LONG- 46:2 OBJECTION- 10:25 14:24 **ORDERS-** 15:8 KEY- 15:10 18:15 LOOK- 14:10,18 15:1,6,8,14,17 14:14 16:10,15,18 23:15 30:1,12 **KNAPP-** 44:20,23 17:7 37:13 38:16 16:5 17:25 18:21 18:12 19:24,25 **ORDINARY-** 19:17 45:5 20:18 23:25 26:8 LOOKING- 31:6,12 19:4,20 20:11 OTHERWISE- 28:25 27:12 32:12,14 LOOP- 8:20 21:6,8 27:16,22 36:8 42:17 44:13 L 33:2,16 34:5 35:3 LOT- 22:24 28:18 29:7,16 LANGUAGE- 22:25 OUTSET- 31:1 40:14 45:11,24 30:14.25 38:8.17 LOWENSTEIN- 26:4 24:8,21 27:12,21 35:11 46:9,11 47:3,5,17 LP- 8:15 40:1 41:4 42:8 28:1,16 OVERALL- 19:6 43:1 44:5,6 46:20 48:7 31:7,20,21 32:17 **OWED-** 34:16 OBJECTIONS- 12:3 М MOTIONS- 17:6,17 43:6 44:25 OWN- 44:24 16:7 37:14 19:20 23:25 26:20 MAINTAIN- 43:13 45:2,25 46:2 MOVE- 9:3 16:4 OBJECTS- 40:3 **MAJORITY-** 25:11 47:18 48:9,10,20 Þ OBLIGATED- 38:25 MUCH- 29:12 42:6 **MAKTNG-** 9:17 49:5,13 PAID- 34:9,10 41:5 MULTI- 11:23 47:6 LARGE- 21:22 42:6 OBLIGATION-MALLET- 45:14,21 17:14 **LASTLY-** 35:3 **PANEL-** 11:24 28:14,24 29:18 MULTIPLE- 22:20 MANGES- 8:3 21:4 **PAPERED-** 17:22 **LATE-** 21:16 38:24 42:7 MUTUAL- 8:3 11:16 MANY- 21:24 **PAPERS-** 45:15 LATER- 22:17 OBLIGATIONS-14:23 15:3 34:18 39:23 LAUGHTER- 20:6 PARTICIPATED-24:13,14 28:10 MARKETING- 8:15 MUTUAL'S- 28:6 **LAWYER-** 42:21 22:20 31:25 34:14 39:3 15:22 **LAWYERED-** 22:24 PARTIES- 8:16 41:21,25 N **MATCHES-** 46:2 13:13,21 15:11,16 **LEAD-** 24:1,3 26:2 **OBTAIN-** 23:7 NEAR- 34:8 MATERIAL- 18:1 28:6 29:16 OBVIOUSLY-16:24,25 19:22,23 **MATTER-** 9:15 NECESSARY-47:3,17 22:3,20 23:12,19 22:13.22 24:17 20:13,18 23:7,20 10:2,10 18:7 26:11 27:9 28:19 **LEAVE-** 9:23 25:9 48:16 25:5,16 36:7 28:6 34:3.5 39:23 40:5 LEE- 45:11 OCTOBER- 11:19 42:9.12 37:22 43:5,7 42:11,24,25 **LEGAL-** 20:18 OFFENDED- 31:18 NEEDED- 21:20,21 46:23 47:24 47:15,19 49:10 **LEGITIMATE-** 37:20 **OFFICE-** 10:20 25:3 50:16 PARTIES'-**LENGTH-** 16:10 13:18 21:22 25:11 NEGOTIATE- 18:23 **MATTERS-** 12:1 24:12.14 **LENGTHY-** 18:23 42:4 NEGOTIATED- 18:25 21:5 **PARTNER-** 10:6 **LETTER-** 9:1 OFFICER- 47:1 22:19 MAZA-PARTNERSHIP-13:6,15 NEGOTTATES- 41:13 OFFICERS- 49:7 9:8,12,20,22,25 17:15 LIABILITIES-OFFICERS'-NEGOTIATION-**MCMAHON-** 10:22 **PARTY-** 23:24 46:22,25 34:14 22:25 13:24 14:3 27:15 28:10,20 **LIGHT-** 16:22 OFFICIAL- 10:14 17:4,5 NEGOTIATIONS -35:10 36:18 OFFSETS- 42:13 18:1,6 20:17 35:7 24:3 47:9 38:10,11,16

-	1	1	1	1
37:2,7 39:20	28:14	40:25 41:24 43:19	RELATIVELY- 46:22	REVIEWED- 14:13
44:14	PRESERVED- 26:12	PROVISIONS- 18:13	RELIEF- 12:24	REVISED- 48:5
PASSED- 8:19	PRESUMABLY-	38:21 39:2,4 41:3	17:9 31:13 35:19	REVISIONS- 14:18
32:23	36:23	45:24	REMAINING- 26:8	RIGHTS- 28:5,8,18
PAUSE- 11:8	PREVIOUS- 30:9	PUBLIC- 35:25		
			REMEDIES- 32:4	31:7,10,19
14:9,17 30:11	PREVOST- 45:21	37:22	REMOVED- 13:14,16	32:4,16 33:17
49:25	PRICING- 33:5	PURCHASE- 17:23	33:22	35:13 45:1
PAY- 31:16 34:23	35:20	18:22	RENDERED- 31:17	RISE- 27:23 45:13
PAYMENT- 8:15	PRINCIPAL- 19:15	PURE- 21:25	REPRESENTATIONS-	ROAD- 48:23
34:12	PRIOR- 48:11,25	PURPOSE- 31:8	26:6,12,20,25	ROSEN-
PENDING- 11:20,23	PRIVILEGE- 22:13	43:25 49:16	27:24	8:2,7,10,23 9:16
47:2	23:23 24:16	PURPOSES- 23:4	REPRESENTATIVES-	10:1,9,16 14:12
PENULTIMATE - 24:9	28:13	37:10,20	47:10	20:24 30:1,7,13
30:4	PRIVILEGED-	PURSUANT- 15:1	REPRESENTED-	33:15 36:3,5
PERFECTLY- 41:14	23:21	19:23 32:4 33:19	22:22,23	40:7,16 41:12,14
PERHAPS- 36:7	PRIVILEGES-	34:11,24 39:1	REQUEST- 13:18	44:16 45:8 46:13
40:8		46:20		50:6
PERIOD- 13:12	22:12,14	40.20	20:3 25:7 31:18	RULE- 19:23 23:16
	PRO- 11:19 29:7		37:4	28:3
16:10 47:13,14	45:15		REQUESTED- 17:10	
PERMIT- 41:1	PROBABLY- 25:12	QUESTIONS- 10:23	36:25 37:17,18	RULES- 23:16
PERMITTED- 37:9	PROBLEM-	12:4,7,9	45:2	35:15 44:10
43:17	49:12,20	20:11,14,15 35:7	REQUESTS- 13:20	RULING- 18:6 47:6
PERSON- 28:8	PROCEDURALLY-	QUICK- 14:10	22:10 25:3	15:7,12,13,17,18,
PERSPECTIVE-	20:18	QUICKLY- 21:19	REQUIRE- 19:19,20	23
24:7,24 25:2,10	PROCEDURE- 18:14	22:3	38:3 41:10	
PHONE- 26:3 44:21	PROCEDURES-	QUOTE- 43:15	REQUIRED-	S
48:2	12:22,25 14:25	l	35:10,15	SALE- 14:25 16:24
PIPER- 29:7	15:7,9,23	R	REQUIREMENT-	17:1,13,17
		RAISED- 16:9 35:7	_	18:2,17,21,24
PLACE- 33:8	16:4,20,22	38:13 48:7	24:22	19:5,18,19,20,21
PLAINTIFF- 24:1,3	17:13,17,19	RATES- 33:5	REQUIREMENTS-	SALES- 16:2 19:3
28:5 47:4	18:14,17 19:9		12:23 13:16	
PLAINTIFF'S-	46:4	REACHED- 8:17	24:19,25 25:20	SANDLER- 26:4
47:17	PROCEEDINGS-	REACHES- 46:10	RESERVATION-	SAPEIKA- 11:15
PLAINTIFFS-	50:15	REACHING- 45:24	31:7,19 32:15	12:11 14:22
24:15,21 25:18	PROCEEDS- 48:7	READS- 34:10	33:17 45:1,25	16:8,14,17 18:11
26:2 28:12 29:16	PROCESS- 15:22	REALIZED- 22:3	47:18	20:2,7,20,22
PLAN- 26:24	16:2 42:21	REALM- 18:5	RESOLVE- 9:2	SATISFACTORY-
PM- 50:10	PROFFER-	REASON- 25:9 30:2	16:14,18 26:7	49:8
POINTED- 45:23	20:12,16	RECEIVE- 13:15,21	27:10	SATISFIED- 10:22
POINTS- 18:9	PROPER- 42:25	30:22 33:18	RESOLVED- 8:8	SAW- 9:1 48:11
	PROPERTY-	RECEIVED-	16:6,9 24:4,6,7	SCOPE- 25:22
POLICIES- 10:5		10:20,25 13:3	32:8 46:11 47:17	SCOTT- 12:19
46:22 47:7,16,21	47:7,21	22:21	RESOLVES - 27:12	SEAL- 35:18 38:8
48:8	PROPOSAL- 16:23			40:1 42:8 43:15
POSITION- 16:25	PROPOSE- 15:2	RECEIVER- 22:12	RESPECT- 8:12	
27:5 28:21	15:7,8,9,12,13,1	RECENTLY- 23:17	12:22 15:18 16:17	44:4
POTENTIAL- 22:11	8	49:6	17:17,20 18:7,21	SEALED- 39:17
38:22	, 23	RECITE- 16:3	19:4 20:10 21:8	SEALING- 43:7
PRACTICAL- 25:10	PROPOSED- 14:1	RECOGNIZED- 21:18	24:10,17 26:5,8	SEARCHING- 18:5
PRECEDING- 28:2	16:3,12,24 17:1	RECORDING- 50:15	28:3,25 29:20	SEATTLE- 21:23
PRECISELY- 38:24	18:21,24 19:5,11	RECORDS-	31:7,21 32:18	SEC- 9:1,9,12
42:6	20:3 31:20	21:20,21,23,25	33:2,18	SECOND- 8:14 31:5
PRECLUDE - 24:15	32:12,16,17	22:1,6,7 25:11	34:3,14,21 35:6	32:18
28:12	38:21	28:25	38:13 39:8 41:6	SECRETS- 32:23
	39:2 43:6 44:24	RED- 47:22	42:13 44:16 47:20	SECTIONS - 32:6
PREJUDICE- 32:4	48:21		RESPOND- 23:8	
47:19		REFERRED- 10:16	25:3 38:12	SECURITIES - 23:10
PREPARED- 41:14	PROPRIETARY-	46:14	RESPONSE- 11:11	24:2,13 25:12
PREPARING- 15:17	35:20 37:9,21	REFERRING- 43:10		28:6,7,9,11,12
17:25	PROTECT- 35:20	49:9	12:13 18:10,11 45:2	31:4 47:4
PREROGATIVE-	47:16	REFLECT- 10:2		SECURITIES'-
48:17	PROTECTED- 24:18	35:1	RESPONSES - 30:22	25:18
PRESENT- 20:9,25	28:11 43:2	REFLECTING- 22:6	31:2 32:9	SEE- 17:16 45:6
PRESENTATION-	PROTECTIVE-	REGULAR- 8:5	RESTRUCTURING-	49:8
10:7 47:24	24:14	REITERATE- 16:21	20:9	SEEK- 42:8,18
PRESENTING- 8:18	28:9	18:12	RESULT- 9:4	SEEKING- 21:7
	PROTOCOLS- 22:9	REJECT- 36:23	RETAIN- 10:17	30:15
PRESERVATION-	PROVIDE- 15:11		11:18 48:14	SEEKS- 12:23
24:19,22,25	16:23 18:15 19:9	REJECTION- 8:25	RETAINED- 11:20	39:20
25:8,15,20 26:9	23:5 33:21,23	9:18	RETENTION- 11:1	
27:8 28:25 29:18	34:4 35:14 38:18	RELATIONSHIP-	13:1,4 25:22	SEEN- 44:24 46:1
PRESERVE- 25:4		25:12	13.1,1 23.22	SEIZURE- 21:15
	40:10 41:10	1		
		1		

SELF-EVIDENT-28:22 23:24 28:19 37:11 WOLFE-THREE- 13:10 31:2 UPDATES- 47:13,14 27:21 **STATUS-** 47:13 11:18,19,25 **STAY-** 10:4 31:14 12:8,15,18 SELL- 19:16 TIM- 45:20 USC- 8:16 **SELLING-** 18:2 46:21 48:8 TIME- 13:12 34:1 USED- 35:23 WON'T-STEPS- 21:19 24:13,15,18 42:11 **SENSITIVE-** 35:20 36:7,11 39:18,20 **STEVENS-** 45:11 40:19 ۲,7 WORK- 15:25 21:14 42:5 VALUE- 17:1 18:18 SENSITIVITY- 42:3 STIPULATED-**TIMING-** 33:20 25:18 42:11 44:8 WORKED- 13:7 22:9 **SENT-** 35:8 48:4 28:9,10 TIMOTHY- 45:13 19:6,11 TODAY- 9:17 13:23 VARIOUS- 13:8 WOULDN'T- 28:21 **SENTENCE-** 34:7,10 STIPULATION-15:21 47:2 8:12,18 20:10 26:3 28:22 **SEPARATE-** 19:19 30:15,18,24 29:6 30:20 38:25 **VAST-** 25:11 25:16 39:3 31:9,13,24 33:19 39:10 43:7 50:5 **VENDOR-** 30:16,18 YESTERDAY- 12:6 SEPARATELY- 27:8 YORK- 9:13 34:7,24 35:6,12 TODAY'S- 9:3 50:6 31:25 32:3 34:24 **SEPTEMBER-** 21:16 37:4,15 38:17 TOGETHER- 21:10 37:7,9 40:12,16 YOU'D- 14:6 **SERIES-** 22:9 47:8 40:25 41:9 42:15 TOOK- 23:1 41:23 43:14 YOU'LL- 45:6 **SERVE-** 11:22 STRAIGHTFORWARD-**VENDORS-** 38:19 TOP- 17:25 43:5 YOU'RE- 18:2 49:9 **SERVED-** 10:18 46:23 40:11 TRACKS- 45:1 **SERVICE-** 19:22 STRATEGIC- 15:3 TRADE- 32:23 42:5 VENDORS'- 32:4 31:15 38:13 **STRAUSS-** 10:14 TRANSACTION- 17:2 **VENTURE-** 15:4 41:4.9 STREAMLINE-VERIZON- 32:20,22 SERVICER- 43:1 19:11 42:20 33:3,6,12 TRANSACTIONS-**SERVICES-** 31:4,16 STROCHAK- 10:6 VIEW- 17:8,10,21 33:5 34:17.23.25 17:22 21:3,13 27:8 26:23,24 35:9,10 TRANSCRIPT- 50:14 **SET-** 12:5 15:6 46:17 48:4 **VIRTUE-** 17:23 TRANSCRIPTS-19:9 21:13 27:4 49:17,24 50:4 38:21,24 39:2 50:20 W **STRUCK-** 37:16 **SETS-** 33:19 TRANSFER- 9:1 SUBMITTED- 33:15 WAIT- 36:11 TREATMENT - 22:5 SETTLEMENT- 43:9 42:23 WATVER- 22:14 **SEVEN-** 16:11 TRIED- 22:15 **SUBPOENA-** 42:18 23:23 24:17 **SEVERAL-** 32:15 37:19 SUBSEQUENTLY-**WANTS-** 27:2 33:16 TRUST- 26:13 44:17 WASHINGTON- 8:3 **SHALL-** 28:5 34:15 TRUSTEE- 10:21 **SUBSTANCE-** 16:5 11:16,22,24 14:23 40:10 49:10 12:3 13:8 15:21 47:4 15:2 24:2 28:6 **SHAPE-** 23:11 16:2,19 17:3,5 SUBSTANTIAL-34:18 **SHARE-** 22:13 18:19 35:4,8,17 42:14 **WAVING-** 48:24 23:21 41:2 37:17 42:4 WE'RE- 17:13,14 SUBSTANTIALLY-TRUSTEE'S- 13:18 **SHARING-** 23:22 18:4,7 23:13,20 16:9 18:25 16:17 18:12 35:10 39:11 SUFFICIENT-25:17,19 27:9 TUNC- 11:19 SHIFT- 8:8 29:15 30:20 33:1 49:15 TWICE- 34:23 **SHORTLY-** 29:8 38:20 40:23 41:7 SUGGESTED- 27:8 **TWO-** 8:10 12:23 **SHOULDN'T-** 18:20 42:10 50:5 SUGGESTION-13:1 21:10 23:2 **SIGN-** 40:18 43:2 **WEB-** 13:2 29:17 30:8,12 33:25 SIGNIFICANT-**WEEK-** 21:15 35:16 TYPES- 17:7,16 15:15 16:24 18:20 **SUITED-** 11:25 WEIL- 8:3 11:20 21:23 19:4 25:1 27:7 21:4 46:17 **SULLIVAN-** 27:18 TYPICAL- 17:12 **SIMILAR-** 21:8,11 WESTERN- 11:21,24 36:14 **TYPICALLY-** 17:16 **SIMON-** 26:1,17 SUPPLEMENTAL-WHEREUPON- 50:10 27:4,11 12:5 WILL- 8:17 TT **SIMPLE-** 22:6 41:7 9:2,5,6,16,18 SUSPECT- 25:24 ULTIMATELY- 30:19 SIMPLY- 49:9 10:5,6 11:3,19,22 UNABLE- 12:8 SITE- 13:2 19:19,20 20:24 UNCONTESTED- 8:8 SITUATION- 22:4 TABLE- 21:13 23:3 24:12 10:6,10 11:12 SITUATIONS- 22:11 26:6,7,13 27:13 **TAJIMA-** 34:2 46:15 SOLD- 17:8 **TAKING-** 15:16 29:7 30:17 UNDERLYING-**SOLE-** 37:2 33:4,6,8,23 TAL- 11:15 14:22 28:20,24 SOLUTIONS- 13:2 37:13,15 38:3 **TAYLOR-** 29:5 UNDERSTANDING-SORTS- 46:24 39:2 40:19 41:15 **TEAPOT-** 42:10 16:19 **SOUND-** 50:15 42:11,24 45:17 TECHNOLOGIES-UNDERSTANDS-**SOUNDS-** 29:15 WIMERICK- 18:7 31:3 44:21 31:23 WISH- 11:9 12:12 **TEMPEST-** 42:10 UNDERSTOOD-**SPECIAL-** 11:18 27:15 **TENABLE-** 25:8 42:2,3 SPECIFICALLY-WISHES- 47:23 TERMINATION- 9:4 UNITED- 10:21 33:13,18 34:7,9 WITHDRAW- 9:7 TERMS- 30:24 11:21 17:5 **SOUARE-** 18:8 WITHDRAWING- 9:19 32:2 35:4,8,16 **STAND-** 50:8 **WMI-** 31:10 33:19 41:15 42:6 UNLESS- 43:17 **STARGATT-** 29:4 34:18,24 45:1 44:4 STARTED- 8:5 36:18,19,22 TESTIMONY-UNLIKELY- 41:22 21:14 37:2,8 46:6,8 20:12,13 UNSEALED- 43:17 **STATE-** 49:9 WMI'S- 37:2 THEREFORE- 19:3 UNSECURED- 10:15 **STATEMENTS-** 27:24 32:22