## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: Chapter 11

WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., . Case No. 08-12229 (MFW) et al., . (Jointly Administered)

. April 23, 2009 . 10:30 a.m.

Debtors. . (Wilmington)

•

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Brian S. Rosen, Esq.

Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP

For the Committee: Scott L. Alberino, Esq.

Fred S. Hodara, Esq.

Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer &

Feld, LLP

VIA TELEPHONE:

For FTI Consulting: Steven D. Simms

FTI Consulting.

For McKee Nelson: Kevin Otero, Esq.

McKee Nelson, LLP

<u>Audio Operator:</u> Theresa Pullan

<u>Transcriptionist:</u> Jennifer Ryan Enslen

43 Bay Boulevard Newark, De 19702 (302)836-1905

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

- 1 THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated.
- THE COURT: Good morning.
- 3 MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Brian Rosen,
- 4 Weil, Gotshal & Manges on behalf of Washington Mutual and on
- 5 this day also on behalf of Weil, Gotshal & Manges. Your
- 6 Honor, there were two items on the calender today. The first
- 7 item is a motion for the Debtors to enter into an engagement
- 8 letter with Towers Perrin. Based upon conversations, Your
- 9 Honor, that we have had with the US Trustee and Towers Perrin
- 10 as late as this morning, we are going to be withdrawing that
- 11 application. Previously we had done something pursuant to
- 12 the ordinary course retention of Towers Perrin, and we're
- 13 going to be looking into moving forward with them in the same
- 14 light. Instead of doing this motion and retention. So we
- 15 will be withdrawing that application this morning.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the next item on the
- 18 calendar are the interim fee applications of the respective
- 19 professionals. Your Honor, I could run through them, but I
- 20 think the Court has the benefit of that, and I'd guess
- 21 instead, Your Honor, I would merely note that there have been
- 22 no objections that have been interposed, but I assume the
- 23 Court might have a question or two about some of the
- 24 applications. And I thought we could go through Q&A with the
- 25 Court. If the Court would like to do it.

- 1 THE COURT: That's probably the fastest way to do
- 2 it.
- 3 MR. ROSEN: Okay, Your Honor. Then I'll step aside
- 4 and let you call up whoever you'd like to speak with.
- 5 THE COURT: Since this is the first interim fee
- 6 applications, I'll just give, in large part, my general
- 7 comment about what they look like, and to the extent that
- 8 there are some problems I have, I'm just going to encourage
- 9 counsel to do better in the future. With respect to
- 10 Richards, Layton & Finger I had no problems at all with their
- 11 fee application. I think it complies with our Local Rules
- 12 and the requirements of the Code and national rules. With
- 13 respect to Weil Gotshal, there are a number of mathematical
- 14 errors, but again, they're not significant, so I'm just going
- 15 to ask you to check those in the future. Some of the
- 16 descriptions of services are light. I note that it is in
- 17 general not bankruptcy counsel, but other, what appear to be
- 18 other professionals at the firm, and I'll just ask that -
- 19 and I'll give you an example. Review documents. At least
- 20 identify what the topic or the reason for the review of the
- 21 documents is. There was one issue. Ah. One issue on travel
- 22 time specifically. It appears that several people went to
- 23 Seattle I assume on the same flight, but they all billed
- 24 different times. So if -
- MR. ROSEN: It might have been, Your Honor - the

- 1 reason is not the flight time, but rather whether it's non-
- 2 working travel or travel. To the extent that someone does
- 3 work during the flight, that's billed separately than non-
- 4 working travel. Someone may have slept for an hour, and
- 5 someone may have slept for a half hour. And that could be a
- 6 for instance. But I will check on that.
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah. Check on that. And I'm just
- 8 going to give you the, the date or the, let's see if I can
- 9 give you the page. It's on Project Sonic. The dates range
- 10 from December  $9^{th}$  through the  $19^{th}$ . Some of them identify it
- 11 as non-working travel. Others just say travel. And it's
- 12 unclear that the working travel was backed out. So if you
- 13 could just -
- MR. ROSEN: We'll do that.
- 15 THE COURT: - as I, as is usual in this District,
- 16 to separate that out and bill non-working travel at half
- 17 time, but travel at -
- 18 MR. ROSEN: Absolutely. And we do, Your Honor, have
- 19 a work code to reflect that.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That was the only
- 21 question, then, on Weil Gotshal specifically. Davis, Wright,
- 22 & Tremaine I had no problems with. Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher
- 23 was fine. Akin Gump, I had one question on associate meals.
- Now let me see if I can find - I guess it was in the next
- 25 binder. What is their policy with respect to associate

- 1 meals?
- 2 MR. ALBERINO: Hello, Your Honor. Scott Alberino
- 3 from Akin Gump. Our policy with associate meals is to the
- 4 extent somebody is working on a matter after 7:30, we
- 5 typically will allow associates to bill meals to clients.
- 6 And we generally tell them to keep the meal bills below \$25
- 7 per person.
- 8 THE COURT: Because it appears on December 12<sup>th</sup>
- 9 there's a line entry for \$554, \$71, \$37. I don't know if
- 10 there were a lot of associates working that day, or one just
- 11 went wild.
- MR. ALBERINO: Your Honor, just, number one, there
- 13 are, there are associates working in multiple offices. So
- 14 each of them, if they were to pay, if they were to submit for
- 15 a meal, it would show up separately, it would show separately
- on the expense line item. Also, you know, there are certain,
- 17 you know, certain on line ordering systems where if there are
- 18 multiple people working on the case in one office, you know,
- 19 you'll have a, say for example a \$75 meal charged to four
- 20 people. But we're happy to take a look at that and see if
- 21 that, if there's any error there.
- 22 THE COURT: Yeah. If you could look at all of them.
- 23 Because on December  $19^{th}$  there's a \$400. On January  $16^{th}$ ,
- there's \$720. And there's a lot of other associate meals it
- 25 looks like.

- 1 MR. HODARA: Your Honor, we will - it's Fred
- 2 Hodara. We'll certainly check that. I'm noticing December
- 3 19 was actually an in person meeting. So one other
- 4 possibility is that for some reason these were coded as
- 5 associated lunches, but were actually in person catered
- 6 meetings. But we'll check the detail on all of those dates.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- 8 MR. HODARA: Thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Check January 30th also.
- 10 There are -
- MR. HODARA: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: - a lot of them, and one is almost
- 13 \$1000. All right. FTI Consulting. FTI Consulting, the
- 14 concern I have is with respect to the air travel on a, on
- 15 11/3, three different professionals traveled to Seattle, and
- 16 their air fair ranged from \$675 to \$1600. And the third was
- 17 at \$13 hundred. So if there could be an explanation as to
- 18 why that air travel - and while they were in Seattle, it
- 19 appears that their hotels ranged from \$465 - excuse me.
- 20 \$367 to \$786. So I'd like an explanation as to why that is,
- 21 that is so.
- 22 MR. SIMMS (Telephonic): Your Honor, it's Steven
- 23 Simms from FTI on the line. I will check into that to get
- 24 you - I do believe it's possible with the hotel, knowing
- 25 that as an example, if it's the trip I'm thinking of, myself,

- 1 who was there, may have been there for one day, and others
- 2 were there for more than one day. So it could be multiple
- 3 day hotel stay. And I'll find out and we'll identify that
- 4 clearly. But -
- 5 THE COURT: Okay.
- 6 MR. SIMMS (Telephonic): - I don't believe there
- 7 would be any charge that would be at that level. So we will
- 8 check, because we were all at the same hotel. And -
- 9 THE COURT: Well -
- 10 MR. SIMMS (Telephonic): - as for the air fare, I
- 11 will also check on the reasoning, and get back on that.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Well it seems that you
- 13 spent, you said one day? Well -
- 14 MR. SIMMS (Telephonic): I don't, I think I was
- 15 there for one evening, if I recall. If it was, if it's the
- 16 trip I'm thinking of.
- 17 THE COURT: Well there was one where you spent three
- 18 nights and charged 465, but somebody else spent two nights
- 19 and charged 786, so.
- 20 MR. SIMMS (Telephonic): Okay. I will check on
- 21 that.
- 22 THE COURT: If you can check that. All right.
- 23 Grant Thornton is fine. Except - let me think. Is that
- 24 Grant Thornton? No, Grant Thornton is fine. With respect to
- 25 McKee Nelson, and Sherman & Sterling, Perkins Cole, and John

- 1 Wolfe, I didn't get the unredacted fee applications. So I
- 2 can't decide any of those. McKee Nelson, it appears that
- 3 there are just redactions for issues 2 and 3. But again, the
- 4 Court needs to know what exactly counsel was working on.
- 5 MR. OTERO (Telephonic): Your Honor, this is Kevin
- 6 Otero from McKee Nelson. We'll definitely get that to you.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. And also for McKee Nelson, I am
- 8 going to disallow a sum. I'm going to disallow \$8,128.50,
- 9 because it appears that that was for running conflicts checks
- 10 and otherwise preparing your retention application which I
- 11 don't normally approve payment from -
- MR. OTERO (Telephonic): Understood.
- 13 THE COURT: - from the estate. And if you could
- 14 explain what, who the law clerks are. It appears you have
- 15 several law clerks at -
- 16 MR. OTERO (Telephonic): Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 17 One of our law clerks is an LLM graduate from Georgetown Law
- 18 and practiced prior to coming to the states in England.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. So they are attorneys?
- MR. OTERO (Telephonic): Correct.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then no problem with
- 22 that. All right. Those were my only issues, then, for those
- 23 firms. With respect to Miller & Chevalier and Simpson
- 24 Thacher, I had no problems with their fee applications. All
- 25 right.

```
MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, then with respect to
 1
    process, we can obviously provide the Court with a modified
 2
     order that would delete, at this point in time, the McKee,
 3
 4
     Sherman, Perkins, and Wolfe applications until you have an
 5
     opportunity to get those unredacted versions. With respect
 6
     to those where you've raised some questions, I'm not sure how
 7
     you'd like us to proceed. We can get back to you with
     respect to those, or we could have an order entered, and to
 8
    the extent that there are issues, we could have those taken
 9
     care of in the next applications, and have those reflected
10
11
     there. Which is what I think the firms would prefer. But
12
     obviously your, it's your decision.
               THE COURT: Well let's do the latter. That's fine.
13
14
          (The remainder of the page is intentionally left blank.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
               MR. ROSEN: Okay. So then we'll present you with
     another order, then, just redacting those four points out.
 2
     Those four firms out. And we'll get that over to you this
 3
 4
     afternoon.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. I
 6
 7
     believe that concludes this morning's calendar, then.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. We'll stand adjourned.
 9
               MR. ROSEN: Have a nice day.
10
               THE COURT: You too.
11
          (Whereupon at 10:46 a.m. the hearing in this matter was
12
     concluded for this date.)
13
14
15
16
17
               I, Jennifer Ryan Enslen, approved transcriber for
18
     the United States Courts, certify that the foregoing is a
19
20
     correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the
21
     proceedings in the above entitled matter.
2.2
     /s/Jennifer Ryan Enslen
23
                                              <u> April 29, 2009</u>
     Jennifer Ryan Enslen
     43 Bay Boulevard
24
     Newark, DE 19702
     (302)836-1905
25
```

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT District of Delaware

In Re:

Washington Mutual, Inc. 1301 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 EIN: 91–1653725

Chapter: 11

Case No.: 08-12229-MFW

# NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

A transcript of the proceeding held on 4/23/2009 was filed on 4/30/2009. The following deadlines apply:

The parties have 7 days to file with the court a *Notice of Intent to Request Redaction* of this transcript. The deadline for filing a *request for redaction* is 5/21/2009.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due 6/1/2009.

If no such notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 7/29/2009 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber (name, address/contact information) or you may view the document at the clerk?s office public terminal.

Clerk of Court

Date: 4/30/09

(ntc)

### **Notice Recipients**

District/Off: 0311-1 User: Brandon Date Created: 4/30/2009

Case: 08–12229–MFW Form ID: ntcBK Total: 13

**Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:** 

ust	United States Trust	tee USTPREGION03.WL.ECF@USDOJ.GOV
aty	Chun I. Jang	jang@rlf.com, rbgroup@rlf.com
- 4	I F IZ C	1

aty Lee E. Kaufman kaufman@rlf.com, rbgrooup@rlf.com aty Lee E. Kaufman kaufman@rlf.com, rbgroup@rlf.com

TOTAL: 4

Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankri	uptcy Noticing Center):
---	-------------------------

., -	10010	C1 TTT 1 00101	
db	Washington Mutual, Inc. 1301 Second Avenue	Seattle, WA 98101	
aty	Andrew C. Irgens Richards, Layton & Finger	920 N. King Street	Wilmington, DE 19801
aty	Chun I Jang Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Street	P.O. Box
	551 Wilmington, DE 19899		
aty	Chun I. Jang Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Street	P.O. Box
	551 Wilmington, DE 19899		
aty	Cory D. Kandestin Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	<ol> <li>920 North King S</li> </ol>	treet, One Rodney
	Square Wilmington, DE 19801		
aty	Lee E. Kaufman Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Stre	eet One Rodney
	Square Wilmington, DE 19801	_	•
aty	Lee E. Kaufman Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Stre	eet One Rodney
·	Square Wilmington, DE 19801	<u> </u>	·
aty	Mark D. Collins Richards Layton & Finger	One Rodney Square	PO Box 551 Wilmington, DE
·	19899	<b>3</b> 1	,
aty	Rafael Xavier Zahralddin–Aravena Elliott Greenl	eaf 1105 North Marl	ket Street Suite
•	1700 P.O. Box 2327 Wilmington, DE 1980	1	

TOTAL: 9